

## Research Article

# Management of spotted stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in maize crop through augmentative releases of *Cotesia flavipes* (Cameron) in Bihar

ARBIND KUMAR RAI<sup>1\*</sup> and RAJENDRA PRASAD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, Central Agricultural University Pusa, Samastipur – 848125, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Central Agricultural University Pusa, Samastipur – 848125, Bihar, India

\*Corresponding authors E-mail: arbindrau56@yahoo.co.in

**ABSTRACT:** The management of spotted stem borer of maize *Chilo partellus* through augmentative releases of the parasitoid *Cotesia flavipes* was conducted at Dr Rajendra Prasad, Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar during *Kharif* 2014, 2015 and 2016. *Cotesia flavipes* (Cameron) was the dominant natural enemy of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in maize fields of Bihar agro-climatic condition and its maximum parasitization was 57 per cent. In the augmented experimentation lay out, the maximum natural infestation of *Chilo partellus* and its parasitoid was as 41.21 per cent during last week of September and 42.30 per cent during second week of November, respectively. In the augmented maize field, the maximum infestation and parasitization were found as 11.36 and 51 per cent, respectively.

**KEY WORDS:** *Chilo partellus*, *Cotesia flavipes*, ecologically dominant parasitoid

(Article chronicle: Received: 06-07-2018; Revised: 02-03-2019; Accepted: 10-03-2019)

## INTRODUCTION

Augmentation is the establishment of existing natural enemies in specific area because it exhibits selective evolutionary adaptations to the distinct geographic populations of the target species. The relative efficacy or virulence of the chosen biocontrol agents across the variations in the population of target pest should be regarded as an important factor for their successful deployment (ICIPE, 2001). The interaction between plants' herbivores and its natural enemies cannot be fully understood without considering the natural enemies' action against herbivores because it regulates pest density (Price *et al.*, 1980). Mohyuddin *et al.*, (1981), Mohyuddin (1991) and Smith *et al.*, (1993) emphasized the need to give due consideration of parasitoid plant preference and suitability during attempts to introduce *Cotesia flavipes* (Cameron) and they reported that *C. flavipes* developed ecological strains that are adapted to searching specific host plants infested by stem borers.

Maize is one of the most important multipurpose cereal crops in the world and the third most important food crops after rice and wheat. In India, maize is grown in all the seasons, i.e., *kharif*, *rabi* and summer. Of these nearly 90 per cent of the production is from *kharif* season, 7 to 8 per cent during *rabi* and remaining 1 to 2 per cent during summer season. For Bihar, winter maize covers larger area than *kharif*

due to very severe pest problem in *kharif* maize (ICRISAT, 1992). In India it is grown in states like Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and Himachal Pradesh.

Maize is attacked by about 139 species of insect pests, among these *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) is a key pest of maize in different agroclimatic regions of India (Bhanukiran and Panwar, 2000; Shukla and Kumar, 2005). Farid *et al.* (2007) stated that *C. partellus* is a key pest of maize crop in Asia and African countries. *C. partellus* is a polyphagous pest and has several graminaceous and other non-cultivated wild host plants (Harris, 1990 and Khan, 1991) and it can inflict severe damage and serious yield losses to maize and sorghum if not managed properly from the early growth stage of the crop. Khan *et al.* (1997) reported that the yield losses caused by stem borers to maize vary widely in different regions which ranged from 25 - 40 per cent according to the pest population density and phenological stage of the crop at infestation. Ahad *et al.* (2008) reported that mean infestation of stem borer *C. partellus* ranged up to 23.16 per cent during *kharif* season.

There is various control options of *C. partellus* which include cultural, biological, and chemical along with host plant resistance and habitat management. Agricultural research institutions with a mandate to improve production

techniques and insect pest management have directed efforts towards an integrated approach particularly in developing countries which incorporate biological control (Betbeder, 1989).

Israel and Padmanabhan (1976) and Rao *et al.* (1981) reported that the *Cotesia flavipes* Cameron (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) is the most common larval parasitoid of *Chilo partellus*. It is found in nature during *kharif* season throughout India and is a provendominant larval parasitoid of *C. partellus* reducing the population up to 32 to 55 per cent (Divyaet *al.*, 2009). The parasitoid, *C. flavipes* is reported to cause varying extent of parasitism on *C. partellus* from different states in India, viz., 36.67 per cent in Pantnagar (Uttarakhand), Chaudhary and Sharma, 1987); 35 to 50 per cent in Himachal Pradesh (Nirmala and Desh Raj, 1996); 6.0 to 21 per cent in Assam (Borah and Arya, 1995); 2 to 33 per cent in Haryana Mohan *et al.* (1999) and 80 per cent in Punjab ( Singh *et al.* 1975).

Maize is grown throughout the year in the experimental site Bihar i.e., as *kharif* (during June/July to November/December), *rabi* (October to April) and spring crop (January/February to May). There is no systematic study for the quantification of interaction result between *Chilo partellus* and its major and dominant enemy, *Cotesia flavipes*. The chances of appearance and existence of *C. flavipes* natural enemies of *C. partellus* is more in the agro-climate of Bihar because maize is grown throughout the year. Augmentative management of *C. partellus* by native dominant natural enemies especially with *C. flavipes* is much more relevant for this locality from ecological point of view as it will derive support from the continued maize agro-ecosystem. Taking these points in consideration, present investigation is taken up with the objective of studying the “impact of *C. flavipes* on *C. partellus* (Swinhoe) in maize crop through its release and recovery”.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted at Research field of Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar during *Kharif*, 2014, 2015 and 2016. The experiment was subdivided into two sub-experiment. The first sub-experiment was the selection of predominant natural enemy and second was the impact study of selected natural enemy.

### First sub-experiment

Selection of Ecologically dominant parasitoid

The most common composite variety of maize, *Lakshmi* was raised in 1-hectare area with all recommended

cultivation practices except insecticides application during late *kharif* 2014 and 2015. There were 100 numbers of infested plants showing symptoms of entry and/or exit holes and were randomly selected at five places in the field and these five places were distributed throughout in the field. The selected plants were deep cut at soil surface and brought into the laboratory for splitting and collection of *C. partellus* larvae and/or its natural enemies present. Alive larvae were transferred into the 2 feet fresh maize stalks for further development of transferred larvae. These stalks were kept into 3'x2' wooden cages and changed at two days interval. The process of collection of infested plants in the field, its cutting, splitting and collection of alive larvae or natural enemy was started at the start of infestation i.e., during 1<sup>st</sup> week of August and continued till December. At collection generally, cocoon was also found, and these cocoons were kept in a vial for emergence of parasitoid. Data collection period was divided into 10 days interval considering the fact of larval period of *Cotesia flavipes* and divided duration of a month was designated as 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> part. The collected parasitoid was identified and found to be *C. flavipes* (Cameron).

### Second sub-experiment

Augmentation study of selected natural enemy *Cotesia flavipes* (Cameron)

The experiment was conducted at two places about 6 kilometers apart from each other in the University area i.e., one at Pusa farm and second at Dholi farm during late *kharif* 2015 and 2016. The maize variety *Lakshmi* was raised in 1-hectare area at both the places during 1<sup>st</sup> week of July. The objective of late sowing i.e., in the month of July is to attract more *Chilo partellus* from neighboring area at all phonological stages of the host plants to increase host infestation as well as host availability in the experimental field.

### Part 1. Parasitization study in natural infestation condition on maize crop

This observation was done by selecting five blocks of 10x10m<sup>2</sup> area distributed in the whole maize field. In each block 15 numbers of plants with moist or fresh exit holes were selected and it was cut and brought into the laboratory. The plants were split to collect the alive or dead larvae or empty *Cotesia* puparia. Alive larvae were reared as mentioned earlier for parasitization. Per cent parasitization of *C. partellus* larvae was calculated on the basis of parasitoid larvae and total numbers of larvae found.

### Part 2. Parasitization in augmented condition on maize crop

The extent of larval parasitization study of *Chilo*

*partellus* larvae by *Cotesia flavipes*, was recorded from five blocks of 10x10m<sup>2</sup> demarcated in the maize field and on each plant, a 3<sup>rd</sup> instar stage of *Chilo* larvae were released to ensure host of *C. flavipes*. Infestation activity was initiated just after start of *Chilo* infestation observed in the natural lay out field crop. One day after infestation one maize stalk containing one bunch of mature cocoons of *C. flavipes* was tied with one infested plant in a block (Fig.1). Infested plants were cut and brought to the laboratory after 10 days of infestation and all the processes were repeated for rearing and recording the data on parasitization.



Fig. 1. Release method of *Cotesia puparia* in the maize field

### Mass production of *Chilo partellus* and *Cotesia* parasitoid

*Chilo partellus* host larvae and *Cotesia* were reared according to the methodology given by Siddiqui *et al.* (1972) and PDDB, 1993, respectively.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Selection of effective parasitoid

The observed parasitoids were *Sceliphron madraspatnam pictum* (F.Smith), (Sphecidae); *Xanthopimpla punctata* (F.) (Ichneumonidae); *Ischnojoppa luteator* (F.) (Ichneumonidae) and *Cotesia flavipes* (Cameron). Among the observed parasitoids, *C. flavipes* (Cameron) showed highest percentage of parasitization.

The data on larval parasitization assessment of parasitoid; *Cotesia flavipes* is presented in Table 1. Data showed that parasitoid action started during the 3<sup>rd</sup> part of August and peaked (57%) during 4<sup>th</sup> part of November. Divya *et al.* (2009) observed that there was 29 per cent of maximum parasitization during kharif season. Kafir (1992) observed peak parasitization (80 %) of *Cotesia sesamae* (Cameron) in South Africa that was also in-line with the present finding. Data presented in Table 1 also shows that the range of parasitization was 0.00 to 57.00 per cent this is in accordance

with the findings of different workers; Nirmala and Desh Raj (1996) 35 to 50 per cent, Borah and Arya (1995) 2 to 21 per cent and Mohan *et al.* (1999) 2 to 33 per cent in different states of India. The parasitization trend showed gradual increase from 0.00 to 57 per cent till the 4<sup>th</sup> part of November which is in accordance to the findings of Divya *et al.* (2009) and the parasitization decreased to 49.46 per cent on stubbles.

### Impact study

#### Natural infestation

The data in Table 2 showed that *C. partellus* infestation started during early August when the crop was about one month old. Infestation showed an increasing trend and peaked with 41.21 per cent during last part of September and a decreasing was observed with 21 per cent during last part of December. Thus, the infestation of *C. partellus* was in the range of 0.00 to 41.21 per cent during 1<sup>st</sup> part of August to 2<sup>nd</sup> part of November. Patel *et al.* (2016) reported similar finding on the *C. partellus* infestation during 3<sup>rd</sup> week of August in Gujarat. Maximum level of infestation was found during September and October in the present study which corroborated with the observation of Siddig (1972) who reported maximum level of incidence during November. It was also observed that during the last part of November and 1<sup>st</sup> part of December the infestation was found at around 21 per cent which was found in-accordance with findings of Manzoor *et al.*, (2015). Similar results were also reported by Firke and Kadam (1978), Panwar and Sarup (1980).

#### Parasitization in augmentation plot

*Cotesia flavipes* parasitization was found in the range of 0.00 to 51.00 per cent with a peak (51%) during 2<sup>nd</sup> part of November. The result of parasitization showed a definite trend i.e., continuous increasing from 1<sup>st</sup> part of August to 2<sup>nd</sup> part of November and continuous decreasing trend (18.00 %) 2<sup>nd</sup> part of December (i.e., at crop harvest). Nagarkatti and Nair (1973) reported parasitization of 25 to 44 per cent of *C. partellus* in sorghum crop and Singh *et al.*, (1975) revealed 80 percent parasitization in maize crop. Neupane *et al.* (1985) reported 30 per cent *C. partellus* parasitization. Omega *et al.* (1997) reported that 44 per cent *C. partellus* parasitization at Tarime and Magu districts of Tanzania. Matama–Kauma *et al.*, (2001) recovered 4.00 to 32.90 per cent parasitization of *C. partellus* in eastern Uganda. The above study done in Uganda was in - line with the present study of natural parasitization recovery study.

#### Effect of parasitization in augmented plot

Table 2 showed that the infestation of *C. partellus* before and after *Cotesia* release. The infestation ranged from 0.00 to 11.36 per cent after release of *Cotesia*, and it was in the range of 0.00 to 41.21 per cent before

**Table 1. Parasitization of *Cotesia flavipes* Cameron on *Chilo partellus* larvae (Swinhoe) during different parts of months in the maize crop during *kharif* 2014-15 and 2015-16**

Sl. No.	Month of observation	Different parts of the month	Date of observation	Average parasitization (%)
1	August	1 <sup>st</sup> part	1.8.2014 & 2015	0.00
2		2 <sup>nd</sup> part	10.8.2014 & 2015	0.00
3		3 <sup>rd</sup> part	20.8.2014 & 2015	8.33
4		4 <sup>th</sup> part	30.8.2014 & 2015	11.01
5	September - October	1 <sup>st</sup> part	9.9.2014 & 15	19.94
6		2 <sup>nd</sup> part	19.9.2014 & 15	29.78
7		3 <sup>rd</sup> part	29.9.2014 & 15	36.08
8		4 <sup>th</sup> part	9.10.2014 & 15	43.43
9	October - November	1 <sup>st</sup> part	19.10.2014 & 15	41.00
10		2 <sup>nd</sup> part	29.10.2014 & 15	43.77
11		3 <sup>rd</sup> part	8.11.2014 & 15	46.91
12		4 <sup>th</sup> part	18.11.2014 & 15	57.00
13	November - December	1 <sup>st</sup> part	28.11.2014 & 15	56.06
14		2 <sup>nd</sup> part	28.11.2014 & 15	50.01
15		3 <sup>rd</sup> part	28.11.2014 & 15	53.52
16		4 <sup>th</sup> part	28.11.2014 & 15	49.46 (stubbles observation)

**Table 2. Impact of augmentation of *Cotesia flavipes* Cameron on its host *Chilo partellus* during *kharif* 2016 and 2017 on maize crop**

Date of <i>Cotesia flavipes</i> puparia release	Date of <i>Cotesia flavipes</i> observation	Av. Natural infestation (%)	Av. Natural parasitization (%)	Augmentation av. Parasitization (%)	Corresponding infestation after parasitization (%)
29.7.2016 & 2017	7.8.2016 & 2017	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8.8.2016 & 2017	16.8.2016 & 2017	9.12	8.50	18.50	11.36
17.8.2016 & 2017	26.8.2016 & 2017	13.75	15.70	18.68	9.87
27.8.2016 & 2017	5.9.2016 & 2017	31.00	19.90	27.01	9.12
6.9.2016 & 2017	15.9.2016 & 2017	37.25	23.01	25.00	6.51
16.9.2016 & 2017	25.9.2016 & 2017	40.90	27.30	36.33	5.21
26.9.2016 & 2017	5.10.2016 & 2017	41.21	28.90	37.00	5.00
6.10.2016 & 2017	15.10.2016 & 2017	39.60	34.30	40.25	4.12
16.10.2016 & 2017	25.10.2016 & 2017	33.00	35.20	46.00	4.00
26.10.2016 & 2017	4.11.2016 & 2017	29.25	39.40	47.00	3.67
5.11.2016 & 17	14.11.2016 & 17	28.31	41.90	47.65	3.12
15.11.2016 & 2017	24.11.2016 & 2017	22.01	42.30	51.00	3.00
25.11.2016 & 2017	4.12.2016 & 2017	21.67	18.70	21.00	3.00
5.12.2016 & 2017	14.12.2016 & 2017	21.01	15.80	18.00	3.00
15.12.2016 & 2017	-	21.00	14.60	18.00	3.00
Summary statistics					
Particulars	Natural infestation (%)	Natural parasitization (%)	Augmentation parasitization (%)	Corresponding infestation (%)	
Mean	25.94	24.37	30.09	4.93	
SD	12.10	12.66	14.77	3.06	
CV (%)	46.65	51.95	49.08	62.13	

the release. Peak infestation was 41.21 per cent and 11.36 per cent during last part of September and 1<sup>st</sup> part of August respectively in natural and augmentative fields. The trend of infestation was as continuous increase and continuous decreasing in natural as well as augmentative field. Reduced level was maintained during the last phase of crop stand that would be beneficial for maintaining the parasitoid in field to ensure crop protection. Summary statistics revealed that the mean infestation in the

augmentation plot decreased to 4.93 per cent from the 25.94 per cent in natural set.

## CONCLUSION

The release of local dominant and maize specific *Cotesia* strain of parasitoid is effective in reducing the pest status of *C. partellus*. Augmentative release of the parasitoid is required to supplement natural parasitism for effective suppression of the pest.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the Director of Research, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University for sanctioning the research project to conduct the research.

## REFERENCES

- Ahad I, Bhagat RM, Ahmad H, Monobrullah M. 2008. Population dynamic of maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* Swinhoe upper Himalayas of Jammu Region. *J Biol Sci.* **16**: 137-138. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jbs.v16i0.3758>
- Betbeder MM. 1989. Biological control of sorghum stems borers. In: Proceedings International workshop on sorghum stems borers. November 1987. International crop research Institute for the semi-arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, A.P. pp. 17-19.
- Bhanukiran Y, Panwar VPS. 2000. *In vitro*, efficacy of neem products on the larvae of maize stalk borer. *Ann Pl Protect Sci.* **8**: 240-242.
- Borah BK, Arya MPS. 1995. Natural parasitization of the sugarcane plassey borer, *Chilo tumidicostalis* (Hampson) by braconid larval parasitoid in Assam. *Ann Agric Res.* **16**: 362-363.
- Choudhary RN, Sharma VK. 1987. Parasitization in diapausing larvae of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) by *Apanteles flavipes* Cameron. *Indian J Ecol.* **14**: 155-157.
- Divya K, Marulasiddesha KN, Krupanidhi K, Sankar M. 2009. Population dynamics of spotted stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) and its interaction with natural enemies in sorghum. *Indian J Sci Tech.* **3**(1): 70-74.
- Firke PV, Kadam MV. 1978. Studies on the seasonal incidence of jowar stem borer, *Chilo zonellus* (Swinhoe). *J Maharashtra Agric Univ.* **3**: 41-142.
- Harris KM. 1990. Bio-efficacy of sorghum stem borers. *Insect Sci Appl.* **119**(4-5): 467-477. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758400021044>
- ICIPE. 2001. Annual Scientific Report. ICIPE Science Press, Nairobi, Kenya.
- ICRISAT. 1992. The medium term plan. Annual Progress Report. Vol. II. ICRISAT, AP. India. pp.312.
- Israel P, Padmanabhan SY. 1976. Biological Control of stem borers of rice in India. Final Technical Report (U.S.P.L.480 Project). CRRI, Cuttack, India, pp. 155.
- Kfir, Rami. 1992. Seasonal abundance of the stem borer *Chilo partellus* (Lepidoptera:Pyralidae) and its parasites on summer grain crops. *J Econ Entomol.* **5**(2): 518-529. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/85.2.518>
- Khan ZR, Litsinger JA, barrion AT, Villaneuva FFD, Fernandez NJ, Taylo LD. 1991. World Bibliography of Rice Stem Borer. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines. **415**: 1794-1990.
- Khan ZR, Overhalt WA, Hassana A. 1997. Utilization of agricultural biodiversity for management of cereal stem borers and striga weed in maize- based cropping system in Africa - A case study. <http://www.cbd.int/doc/casestudies/agr/cs-agr-cereal-stemborers.pdf>.
- Manzoor, Ahmad Mashwani, Farman, Ullah, sajjad, Ahmad, kamran, Sohail, Syed, Fahad Shah and Muhammad, Usman. 2015. Infestation of maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in maize stubbles and stalks. *JBES* **7**(1): 180-185.
- Matama-Kauma T, Kyamanywa S, Ogwang JA, Omwega CO, Willson HR. 2001. Cereal stemborer species complex and establishment of *Cotesia flavipes* Cameron in Eastern Uganda. *Insect Sci Appl.* **21**(4): 317-325. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758400008419>
- Mohan BR, Verma AN, Singh SP. 1999. Periodic parasitization of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) larvae forage sorghum in Haryana. *J Insect Sci.* **4**: 167-169.
- Mohyuddin AI. 1991. Utilization of natural enemies for control of insect pests of sugarcane. *Insect Sci. Appl.* **12**: 19-26. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758400020488>
- Mohyuddin AI, Inayatullah C, King EG. 1981. Host selection of strain occurrence in *Apanteles flavipes* (Cameron) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and its bearing on biocontrol of graminaceous stem-borers (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Bull Entomol Res.* **71**: 575-581. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485300010087>
- Nagarkatti S, Nair KR. 1973. The influence of wild and cultivated gramineae and cyperaceae on population of sugarcane borers and their parasites in North India. *Entomophaga* **24**: 109-114.
- Neupane FP, Coppel HC, Chapman RK. 1985. Bionomics of the maize stemborer *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in Nepal. *Insect Sci Appl.* **6**(4): 547-553. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758400004392>
- Nirmala Devi, Desh Raj. 1996. Extent of parasitization of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) on maize by *Apanteles* sp. in

- Mid Hill zone of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J Entomol. Res.* **20**: 171-172.
- Omweha CO, Overhalt JC, Mbapila JC, Njogu-Kirmani SW. 1997. Establishment and dispersal of *Cotesia flavipes* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), an exotic endoparasite of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in North Tanzania. *African Entomol.* **5**(1):71-75.
- Panwar VPS, Sarup P. 1980. Differential development of *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in various maize varieties. *J Entomol Res.* **4**: 28-33.
- Patel JR, Varma HS, Shinde YA. 2016. Population Dynamics of maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* and its natural enemies. *Indian J Entomol.* **78**(2):126-128. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8172.2016.00041.9>
- PDBC. 1994. *Cotesia* (= *Apanteles*) *flavipes* Cameron (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Technology for Production of natural enemies. Project Directorate of Biological Control, Bangalore. pp: 1-11.
- Price PW, Bouton CE, Gross P, McPherson BA, Thompson JN, Weis AE. 1980. Interaction among three trophic levels: Influence of plants on interactions between insect-herbivores and natural enemies. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst.* **11**: 41-65. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.110180.000353>
- Rao RSN., Joshi BG, Satayanarayan SVV, Soundrajan V. 1981. Notes on new addition to natural enemies of *Spodoptera litura* F. and *Myzus persicae* Sulzn on FCV tobacco in A.P. *Sci Cult.* **47**(3):98-99.
- Shukla A, Kumar Ashok. 2005. Maize stem borer (*Chilo partellus* Swinhoe). A review of plant protection Bulletin, University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur, India.
- Siddig SA. 1972. Gramineaceous stem borers in the North Province of the Sudan. I. Ecological studies. *Ztschr f Angew Ent.* **71**: 376-381. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1972.tb01762.x>
- Siddiqui KH, Chaterji SM. 1972. Laboratory rearing of maize stem borer, *Chilo zonellus* Swinhoe (Crambidae: Lepidoptera) on a semi-synthetic diet using indigenous ingredients. *Indian J Entomol.* **34**: 183-185.
- Singh B, Dhaliwal JS, Battu GS, Atwal AS. 1975. Studies on the maize borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) in the Punjab. III. Role of parasitization by *Apanteles flavipes* (Cameron) in the population build up. *Indian J Ecol.* **2**(115-124).
- Smith Jr, JW, Wiedenmann RN, Overhalt WA. 1993. Parasites of lepidopteran stemborers of tropical gramineous plants. ICIPE Science Press NairPress Nairobi, Kenya.