On Quasisymmetric Space

P. Sumati Kumari^{1*}, Ch. V. Ramana² and Kastriot Zoto³

¹Department of Mathematics, K L University, A.P, India; mumy143143143@gmail.com ²Department of Mathematics, Vignan University, A.P, India ³Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Gjirokastra, Albania

Abstract

In this paper we introduce quasisymmetric space and examine several topological characteristics of this space and establish common fixed point theorems for four self mappings in quasisymmetric spaces.

Keywords: Kuratowski Axioms, Quasisymmetric Space. **AMS Subject classification:** 54A20, 55M20.

1. Introduction

It is substantial that the eminent contraction principle is a salient result in fixed point theory which has been used extensively in many special directions. In modern years many authors have discussed numerous notions of metric/ quasi metric/dislocated metric/dislocated quasi metric/ symmetric space in different ways^{1–5}.

A metric space is a special kind of topological space. In a metric space, topological properties are characterized by means of sequences. Sequences are not sufficient in topological spaces for such purposes. It is natural to try to find classes intermediate between those of topological spaces and those of metric spaces in whose members sequences play a predominant part in deciding their topological properties. A galaxy of mathematicians consisting of such luminaries as Frechet⁶, Chittenden⁷, Frink⁸, W. A. Wilson⁹, Niemytzki¹⁰ have made important contributions in this area. In this paper we investigate the topological characteristics of quasisymmetric space and derive some common fixed point theorems.

2. Notations and Preliminaries on Quasisymmetric Spaces

Let X be a nonempty set. Any function $d: X \times X \rightarrow R^+$, where R^+ is the set of nonnegative real numbers is called a distance function d on X. We consider the following:

- d_1 : Self distances are zero: d(x, x) = 0
- d_{2} : Distance is symmetric: d(x, y) = d(y, x)
- d_3 : Positive distance between distinct points: $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$
- d_4 : Triangle inequality: $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$

d is called,

- (i) Metric if d satisfies d_1 through d_4 .
- (ii) Dislocated metric if d satisfies d_2 through d_4 .
- (iii) Dislocated quasi metric if d satisfies d_3 through d_4 .
- (iv) Symmetric if *d* satisfies d_1 through d_3 .

The pair (X, d) is called a metric /dislocated metric/dislocated quasi metric /symmetric space if d is a metric/ dislocated metric /dislocated quasi metric/symmetric on X.

Metric spaces are well known. Dislocated (quasi) metric spaces are introduced by Pascal Hitzler² and topological aspects of a dislocated metric spaces and dislocated quasi metric spaces are studied by Sarma³ and Sumati⁴. Fixed point theorems on symmetric spaces can be found in ^{11,12}.

Here we introduce the notion of quasisymmetric space, probe in to the topological aspects and derive some fixed point theorems.

^{*}Author for correspondence

DEFINITION 2.1

A distance function on a space *X* is said to be quasisymmetric and the pair (X, d) said to be quasisymmetric space if *d* satisfies d_1 and d_3 :

$$d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y.$$

EXAMPLE 2.2

For any set *X* and any $d: X \times X \to R^+ \ni$

$$d(x, x) = 0 \forall x \in X \text{ and } d(x, y) \neq 0 \text{ if } x \neq y \text{ in } X,$$

d is quasisymmetric on *X*.

EXAMPLE 2.3

 $d(x, y) = |x| + y^2$ for $x, y \in R$ defines a quasisymmetric on R which is not symmetric.

DEFINITION 2.4

Let (X, d) be a quasisymmetric, $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ a net in X and $x \in X$. We say that $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ converges to x in (X, d) if

 $\lim_{\alpha} d(x, x_{\alpha}) = \lim_{\alpha} d(x_{\alpha}, x) = 0.$ In this case we write $\lim_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} = x.$

DEFINITION 2.5³

If $A \subset X$ and $x \in X$ we say that x is a limit point of A if there exists a net $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ in $A - \{x\} \ni \lim x_{\alpha} = x$.

The set of all limit points of $A \subseteq X$ is denoted by D(A).

Remark

In a quasisymmetric space (X, d) if $x \in X$, the constant net $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ where $x_{\alpha} = x \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ converges to *x*.

2.1 Convergence Axioms

Let $(x_{y}), (y_{y}), (z_{y})$ be sequences in X and $x, y, z \in X$.

$$C_1: \lim x_n = x, \lim d(x_n, y_n) = \lim d(y_n, x_n) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \lim y_n = x$$

$$C_2: \lim x_n = x, \lim y_n = x \Longrightarrow \lim d(x_n, y_n) = 0$$

$$C_3: \lim x_n = x \Longrightarrow \lim d(x_n, y) = d(x, y) \text{ and}$$
$$\lim d(y, x_n) = d(y, x).$$

 $C_4: \lim x_n = x, \lim x_n = y \Longrightarrow x = y$

It is simple to verify that $C_1 \Rightarrow C_4$ and $C_2 \Rightarrow C_4$

Above mentioned convergence axioms can be found in¹⁶. The authors of¹⁶ highlighted some convergence axioms and proved some implications and nonimplications among them. By using these convergence axioms we prove some theorems.

THEOREM 2.6

If (X, d) is a quasisymmetric space with C_1 and $A, B \subseteq X$ then

- (i) $D(A) = \phi$ if $A = \phi$
- (ii) $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$
- (iii) $D(D(A)) \subseteq D(A)$
- (iv) $D(A \cup B) = D(A) \cup D(B)$

Proof

(i) and (ii) are obvious.

From (ii), we have

 $D(A) \cup D(B) \subseteq D(A \cup B)$. To establish the reverse inclusion,

let $x \in D(A \cup B)$ and $(x_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Delta)$ and be a net in $A \cup B - \{x\} \ni$

$$x = \lim_{\alpha} (x_{\alpha}).$$

If $\lambda \in \Delta \ni x_{\alpha} \in A - \{x\}$ for $\alpha \ge \lambda$ subsequently

$$x \in D(A) \subseteq D(A) \cup D(B).$$

If not for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, choose $\beta(\alpha) \in \Delta \ni \beta(\alpha) \ge \alpha$ and

 $x_{\beta(\alpha)} \in B$. Then $(x_{\beta(\alpha)} / \alpha \in \Delta)$ is a co-final subnet of $(x_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Delta)$ in $B - \{x\}$

and $x_{\beta(\alpha)} = x$, so that $x \in D(B)$

This shows $D(A \cup B) \subseteq D(A) \cup D(B)$. Thus (iv) holds.

To show (iii), let $x \in D(D(A))$, $(x_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Delta)$ be a net in $D(A) - \{x\}$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\alpha} (x_{\alpha}) = x.$$

For every $i \in N$ choose $\alpha_i \in \Delta - \{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{i-1}\} \ni d(x_{\alpha_i}, x) < \frac{1}{i}$ and $d(x, x_{\alpha_i}) < \frac{1}{i}$ since $x_{\alpha_i} \in D(A) - \{x\}$; there exists a net $\{x_{\beta_i} / \beta_i \in \Delta\}$ in $A - \{x_{\alpha_i}, x\} \ni \lim x_{\beta_i} = \alpha_i$. Choose $\beta_i \ni d(x_{\beta_i}, x_{\alpha_i}) < \frac{1}{i}$ and $d(x_{\alpha_i}, x_{\beta_i}) < \frac{1}{i}$ Then $\lim d(x_{\alpha_i}, x) = \lim d(x, x_{\alpha_i}) = 0$ and

$$\lim d(x_{\beta_i}, x_{\alpha_i}) = \lim d(x_{\alpha_i}, x_{\beta_i}) = 0$$

Hence by C_1 , $\lim d(x, x_{\beta_i}) = 0$. Since the net $\{x_{\beta_i} / i \in N\}$ in $A - \{x\}$ converges to $x \in X, x \in D(A)$. Thus $D(D(A)) \subseteq D(A)$.

COROLLORY 2.7

If $\overline{A} = A \cup D(A)$, the operation $A \to \overline{A}$ on P(X) satisfies Kurotawski's Closure axioms¹³ and hence $\Im = \{A \mid A \subset X \text{ and } \overline{A}^C = A^C\}$ is a topology on *X*.

THEOREM 2.8

If (X, d) through $C_1, A \subset X$ is open (i.e. $A \in \mathfrak{I}$) if for every $x \in A$ there exists $\delta > 0 \ni B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq A$.

Proof

Suppose *A* is open in (*X*, \mathfrak{I}). Then A^c is closed, i.e $\overline{A}^c = A^c$.

Suppose $x \in A$ and there is no $\delta > 0 \ni B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq A$. Then for every positive integer $n \exists x_n \in B_1(x) \cap A^c$.

 $\Rightarrow \lim x_n = x.$

 $\Rightarrow x \in A^c$, contrary to the assumption that $x \in A$.

Hence $x \in A \Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0 \ni B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq A$.

Conversely suppose $\forall x \in A \exists \delta > 0$ (depending on *x*)

 $\ni B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq A.$

We show that $D(A^c) \subseteq A^c$. Otherwise $x \in A \cap D(A^c)$. Since $x \in A, \exists \delta > 0 \ni B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq A$.

Since $x \in D(A^c)$, there exist a net $(x_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Delta) \subseteq A^c \ni$

 $\lim(x_{\alpha}) = x.$

In view of the fact that

 $\delta > 0, \exists \alpha_{\delta} \in \Delta \ni d(x_{\alpha}, x) < \delta \text{ and } d(x, x_{\alpha}) < \delta \text{ for } \alpha \ge \alpha_{\delta}$

 $\Rightarrow x_{\alpha} \in B_{\delta}(x) \text{ for } \alpha \geq \alpha_{\delta} \text{ A contradiction.}$ Therefore $D(A^{c}) \subseteq A^{c}$.

PROPOSITION 2.9

If (X, d), through C_1 and C_3 , $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ then $B_{\delta}(x)$ is an open set, i.e. $B_{\delta}(x) \in \mathfrak{I}$.

Proof

Assume $y \in B_{\delta}(x)$. If there is no $r > 0 \ni B_r(y) \subseteq B_{\delta}(x)$, then for several positive integer *n*, then there is $y_n \in B_{\underline{1}}(y) \cap B_{\delta}^c(x)$.

$$\Rightarrow d(y, y_n) < \frac{1}{n}, d(y_n, y) < \frac{1}{n} \text{ however } d(x, y_n) \ge \delta \text{ or}$$

 $d(y_n, x) \ge \delta.$

The set $\{n/d(x, y_n) \ge \delta\}$ or the set $\{n/d(y_n, x) \ge \delta\}$ must be infinite. And let $\{n_k / k \ge 1\}$ be an infinite sequence $\ni d(x, y_{n_k}) \ge \delta \forall k$.

Since
$$\lim d(y, y_{n_k}) = 0 = \lim d(y_{n_k}, y)$$
, by C_3

$$\lim d(y_{n_k}, x) = d(y, x)$$
 and $= \lim d(x, y_{n_k}) = d(x, y)$.

 $\therefore d(x, y) \ge \delta$. A contradiction.

We get a contradiction in a similar way if the second set is infinite.

Hence $\exists \delta > 0 \ni B_{\delta}(y) \subseteq B_{\delta}(x)$.

PROPOSITION 2.10

If (X, d) satisfies C_1 , C_3 then the induced topology \Im is Hausdorff and first countable.

Proof

Since $B_{\delta}(x)$ is open $\forall \delta > 0$ and by Theorem 2.8, $\left\{ B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)/n \in N \right\}$ is a countable open base at *x*, hence \Im is

first countable.

Suppose \Im is not Hausdorff then there exist $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and for every $n > 0, B_1(x) \cap B_1(y) \neq 0$.

If
$$z_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap B_{\frac{1}{n}}(y)$$
, $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = x^n$
Since $C_1 \Rightarrow C_4, x = y$, a contradiction.
Hence \Im is Hausdorff topological space.

PROPOSITION 2.11

 $x \in X$ is a limit point of $A \subseteq X$ iff for every r > 0, $A - \{x\} \cap B_r(x) \neq 0$

Proof

If $x \in D(A)$ there exists a net $(x_{\alpha} / \alpha \in \Delta) \subseteq A - \{x\} \ni \lim_{\alpha} (x_{\alpha}) = x.$

If $\in >0 \exists \alpha_{\in} \in \Delta \ni d(x_{\alpha}, x) < \in$ and $d(x, x_{\alpha}) < \in$ for

 $\alpha \ge \alpha_{\in}$

Thus $x_{\alpha} \in A - \{x\} \cap B_r(x)$ whenever $\alpha \ge \alpha_{\in}$.

On the contrary if for every r > 0, $A - \{x\} \cap B_r(x) \neq \phi$, for every positive integer *n*, there is $x_n \ni$.

$$d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{n}$$
 and $d(x, x_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $x_n \in A - \{x\}$

Thus
$$\lim(x_n) = x$$
 and $\{x_n\} \subseteq A - \{x\}$.
 $\Rightarrow x \in D(A)$.

3. Main Results on Quasisymmetric Space

We establish coincidence point results for four mappings satisfying the property (*E.A*) on a quasisymmetric space (*X*, *d*) through C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 under a few contractive conditions. We prove common fixed point theorems for such self mappings via weak compatibility.

DEFINITION 3.1¹⁴

Let *S*, *T* : *X* \rightarrow *X*. The pair (*S*, *T*) gratify property (*E*.*A*) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X \ni \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = t \in X$.

DEFINITION 3.2¹⁵

Let *S*, $T: X \rightarrow X$. The pair (*S*, *T*) is said to be weakly compatible if STx = TSx, Whenever Sx = Tx.

THEOREM 3.3

Let *A*, *B*, *S* and *T* be self-mappings on $X \ni$

- (1) $AX \subset TX$ and $BX \subset SX$
- (2) The pair (*B*, *T*) gratify (*E*.*A*) (*resp.*, (*A*, *S*) satisfies the property (*E*.*A*)
- (3) For any $x, y \in X$, $d(Ax, By) \le m(x, y)$. $m(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), \min\{d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty)\}, \min\{d(Ax, Ty), d(Sx, By)\}\}$
- (4) SX is closed subset of X

Then there is $u, w \in X \ni Au = Su = Bw = Tw$

Proof

In view of the fact that the pair (*B*, *T*) gratify the property (*E*.*A*) there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in *X*, and a point *t* in *X* \ni

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(Bx_n,t) = 0$$

From (1), there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X, $\ni Bx_n = Sy_n$ and hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Sy_n, t) = 0$

By C_2 , $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, Bx_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Sy_n, Tx_n) = 0$ In view of the fact that *SX* is a closed subset of *X*, there exists $u \in X \ni Su = t$ From (3), we have

$$d(Au, Bx_n) \le \max\{d(Su, Tx_n), \min\{d(Au, Su), d(Bx_n, Tx_n)\}, \\ \min\{d(Au, Tx_n), d(Su, Bx_n)\}\}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ and applying C_3 , we get d(Au, Su) = 0. By applying C_3 , $d(Su, Au) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (Tx_n, Au)$ By applying C_1 , $\lim_{n \to \infty} (Tx_n, Au) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow d(Su, Au) = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow Su = Au$$

In view of the fact that $AX \subset TX$ there exists $w \in X \ni Au = Tw$.

Our aim to prove that Tw = Bw. From (3) we have,

 $d(Au, Bw) \le \max\{d(Su, Tw), \min\{d(Au, Su), d(Bw, Tw)\},\$

 $\min\{d(Au, Tw), d(Su, Bw)\}\}$

 $\Rightarrow d(Au, Bw) = 0$

Now
$$d(Bw, Au) = d(Bw, t) \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Bw, Tx_n) = 0$$

Since $d(Au, Bw) = d(t, Bw) \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Bx_n, Bw)$ and

 $\lim d(Bx_n, Tx_n) = 0.$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, Bw) = 0$$

Hence Au = BwHence Au = Su = Bw = Tw

THEOREM 3.4

Let (X, d) be a quasisymmetric space through C_1 , C_2 and C_3 let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of X \ni

- (1) $AX \subset TX$ and $BX \subset SX$
- (2) The pair (*B*, *T*) gratify the property (*E*.*A*) (*resp.*, (*A*, *S*) satisfies the property (*E*.*A*).
- (3) The pairs (*A*, *S*) and (*B*, *T*) are weakly compatible,
- (4) For any $x, y \in X, x \neq y$

$$d(Ax, By_n) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), \min\{d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty)\}, \\ \min\{d(Ax, Ty), d(Sx, By)\}\}$$

(5) *SX* is closed subset of *X*. (*resp.*, *TX is a closed subset of X*)

Then *A*, *B*, *S* and *T* have a unique common fixed point in *X*.

Proof

From above theorem, there is $u, w \in X \ni Au = Su = Tw$ = Bw.

From (3), ASu = SAu, AAu = ASu = SAu = SSu and BTw = TBw = TTw = BBw. If $Au \neq w$ then from (4), we have

 $\begin{aligned} d(AAu, Au) &= d(AAu, Bw) \\ &< \max\{d(SAu, Tw), \\ \min\{d(AAu, SAu), d(Bw, Tw)\}, \\ \min\{d(AAu, Tw), d(SAu, Bw)\}\} \\ &= \max\{d(AAu, Au), 0, d(AAu, Au)\} \\ &= d(AAu, Au) \end{aligned}$

A contradiction.

 $\therefore Au = w.$

Likewise if $u \neq Bw$, we will get a contradiction.

Thus Au = w = Su = Tw = Bw = u and is a common fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*.

Uniqueness:

Let *z*, *w* be any fixed point of *A*, *B*, *S* and *T*. Then

d(z, w) = d(Az, Bw) $\leq k \max \{ d(Sz, Az), d(Tw, Bw), d(Sz, Tw) \}$ = d(z, w)

Which implies d(z, w) = 0 and z = w.

4. Acknowledgement

The authors are indebted to Professor I.Ramabhadra Sarma for his precious annotations and suggestions.

5. References

 Schroeder V. Quasi metric and metric spaces, conformal geometry and dynamics, Volume 10, Pages 355–360 (December 26, 2006) applications to probabilistic spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 36 (1999), 331–344.

- 2. Hitzler P. Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics [PhD Thesis]. School of Mathematics, Applied Mathematics and Statistics, National University Ireland, University College Cork; 2001 Jan.
- 3. Sarma IR, Kumari PS. On dislocated metric spaces. International Journal of Mathematical Archive. 2012; 3(1):1-6.
- 4. Kumari PS. On dislocated quasi metrics. Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology. 2012; 3(2):66–74.
- 5. Shen Y, Lu M. Fixed point theorems in symmetric spaces and applications to probabilistic spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 2010; 72:527–32.
- Frechet CF. Surquelques points du calcul fonctionnal. Rendiconti del Circolo Mathematico di Palermo. 1906; 22:1–74.
- Chittenden EW. On the equivalence of ecart and voisinage. Trans Amer Math Soc. 1917; 18:161–6.
- 8. Frink CAH. Distance functions and the metrication problem. Bull Amer Math Soc. 1937; 43:133–42.
- Wilson WA. On Semi Metric Spaces. Am J Math. 1931; 53(2):361–73.
- 10. Niemytzki VW. On the third axiom of metric space. Trans Amer Math Soc. 1927; 29:507–13.
- Rao JM, Kumari PS, Zoto K. Some Fixed Point Theorems in Symmetric Spaces. Inform Sci Comput. 2013 Oct; 2013(2): Article ID ISC220913, 08 pages.
- 12. Kumari PS. Fixed and Periodic Point Theory in Certain Spaces. Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society. 2013; 21:276–80.
- Kelly J. General Topology. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc; 1960.
- Aamri M, Moutawakil DE. Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions. J Math Anal Appl. 2002; 270:181–8.
- Jungck G. Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on non-metric spaces, Far East. J Math Sci. 1996; 4:199–225.
- Sarma IR, Rao JM, Kumari PS, Panthi D. Convergence Axioms on Dislocated Symmetric Spaces, Abstract and Applied Analysis. 2014; 2014:1–7. Article ID 745031. doi:10.1155/2014/7450317