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#### Abstract

In this chapter, we review the following results proved in ${ }^{1,2,3,4}$ : (i) For $\mathrm{k} \geq 3$, every $(\mathrm{k}+1$ )-Hamiltonian-connected graph is $k$-ordered. Determine $f(k, n)$ if $n$ is sufficiently large in terms of $k$. Let $g(k, n)=n / 2+k / 2-1(i i) f(k, n)=g(k, n)$ if $n \geq 1 l k-3$ (iii) $f(k, n) \geq g(k, n)$ for any $n \geq 2 k$ and $f(k, n)>g(k, n)$ if $2 k \leq n \leq 3 k-6$ (iv) if $G$ is a graph of order $n$ with $3 \leq k \leq n / 2$ and $\operatorname{deg}(u)+d e g$ (v) $\geq \mathrm{n}+(3 \mathrm{k}-9) / 2$ for every pair $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$ of non-adjacent vertices of G , then G is k -ordered Hamiltonian.
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## 1. Introduction

In this section, the concept of K-Hamiltonian connected graphs is introduced. The result is that, for $\mathrm{k} \geq 3$, every $(\mathrm{k}+1)$-hamiltonian connected graph is k -ordered is established. Also, necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph to be k-ordered are discussed. The notations used are recalled here. We write v1, (x1), v2, (x2), ..., vk-1, ( $\mathrm{xk}-1$ ), vk to indicate a v1-vk path that contains the k vertices $\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2 \ldots \mathrm{k}$, and possibly upto $\mathrm{k}-1$ additional vertices, namely $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2, \ldots \mathrm{xk}-1$. Thus, for $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}-1$, ( x 1 ) indicates that some vertex, which we denote by xi, may (or) may not be present on the path. So, for each $i(1 \leq i \leq k-1)$, the vertices v 1 and vi+1 are either adjacent or vi, vi+1 is a path. For example, $u, v(x)$, $y$ indicates the path $u, v, y$ or the path $u, v, x, y$.

Proposition 1.1: Let $G$ be a Hamiltonian graph of order $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$. If G is k -ordered, $3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{n}$, then G is $(\mathrm{k}-1)$ connected.

Proof: Suppose, to the contract that G is not $(\mathrm{k}-1)$ connected. Then, there exists a cut set $S=v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots$, , of $G$, where $\leq \mathrm{k}-2$. Let $\mathrm{v}_{+1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{+2}$ be vertices belonging to distict components of G-S. Consequently, every $\mathrm{v}_{+1}{ }^{-\mathrm{v}_{+2}}$ path in $G$ contains vertices of $S$, so $G$ contains no Hamiltonian cycle containing the vertices of the sequence $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2} \ldots, \mathrm{v}$, $\mathrm{v}_{+1}, \mathrm{v}_{+2}$ in this order. Hence G is not ( +2 ) -ordered and so is not k -ordered.

Corollary 1.2: If G is a k -ordered Hamiltonian graph, then $\delta(\mathrm{G}) \geq \mathrm{k}-1$.

## Sufficient conditions for k-ordered graphs

Many sufficient conditions have been given for Hamiltonian graphs. Two of the best known are by Dirac and Ore.

Theorem 1.3 (Dirac): Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 3$. If $\operatorname{deg} v \geq n / 2$ for every vertex $v$ of $G$, then $G$ is Hamiltonian.

Theorem 1.4 (Ore): Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 3$. If for every pair $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$ of non-adjacent vertices of $\mathrm{G}, \operatorname{deg} \mathrm{u}+\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{v}$ $\geq \mathrm{n}$, then G is hamiltonian.

Lemma 1.5: Let G be a graph of order $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$ and let S : $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}$, $\ldots, v_{k}$ be a sequence of $k$ distinct vertices of $G$, where 3 $\leq k \leq n$. If

$$
\operatorname{deg} u+\operatorname{deg} v \geq n+2 k-7
$$

For every pair $u, v$ of non adjacent vertices of $G$, then $G$ contains a $v_{1}-v_{k}$ path of the type $v_{1},\left(x_{1}\right), v_{2},\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots$ $\left(x_{k-1}\right), v_{k}$ or a $v_{k}-v_{k-1}$ path of the type $v_{k}, v_{1},\left(x_{1}\right), v_{2}$, $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-2}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$.
Proof: Consider the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. If $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are adjacent, then $G$ contains the path $\mathrm{v}_{1}$,vs:; otherwise, deg $\mathrm{v}_{1}+\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{v}_{2} \geq \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k}-7 \geq \mathrm{n}-1$, which implies the existence of a vertex x 1 mutually adjacent to $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ and so $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}$ is a path in $G$. In any case, $G$ contains a path $\mathrm{v}_{1},\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{2}$. Now, consider the vertex $v_{3}$. If $v_{2} v_{3} \in E(G)$, then $G$ contains the path $\mathrm{v}_{1},\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{3}$. Suppose, then that $\mathrm{v}_{2} \mathrm{v}_{2} \in \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$. Thus, $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{v}_{2}+\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{v}_{2} \geq \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k}-7$ by hypothesis. Since $G$ has

[^0]order $n$, the vertices $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are mutually adjacent to at least $2 \mathrm{k}-5$ vertices. if $\mathrm{k} \geq 4$, then G contains a vertex $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ distinct from $v_{1}$ and $x_{1}$ (if it exists) such that $x_{2}$ is mutually adjacent to $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$. Hence $G$ contains the path $v_{1},\left(x_{1}\right)$, $\mathrm{v}_{2},\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \mathrm{v}_{3}$. Suppose, then that $\mathrm{k}=3$ and that G contains to vertex distinct from v 1 and x 1 that is mutually adjacent to $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$. If $v_{3}$ is adjacent to $v_{1}$, then $v_{3}, v_{1},\left(x_{1}\right), v_{2}$ is a path of G. If $v_{3}$ is not adjacent to $v_{1}$, then $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are mutually adjacent to 1 and to no other vertex, while $v_{2}$ is adjacent to $\mathrm{v}_{1}$. However, then $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{3}$ is a path in G .

Proceeding inductively, assume that we have constructed a path $\mathrm{v}_{1},\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{2},\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}-2}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}-1}$ in G . Suppose first that $j \leq k-1$. It is to show that $G$ contains a path $v_{1}, \ldots$, $\left(x_{1}\right) v_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) v_{j}$. If $v_{j-1}$ is adjacent to $v_{j}$. If $v_{j-1}$ is adjacent to $v_{j}$, then $G$ contains such a path. Suppose then that $v_{j-1} v_{j} \in$ $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$. If G contains a vertex $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}-1}$ distinct from the vertices on the path $\mathrm{v}_{1},\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{2},\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}-2}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}-1}$ is mutually adjacent to $v_{j-1}$ and $v_{j}$, then $G$ contains a path of the designed type, otherwise $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}-1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are mutually adjacent to at most $2_{j-4}$ vertices and $G$ contains at least $n+2 k-2_{j-1}$ vertices. Since $k \geq j+1$, this produces a contradiction, and hence the desired claim is verified.

Finally, suppose that $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{k}$, that is, assume that there is a path $\mathrm{v}_{1},\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{2},\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-2}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ is constructed in G. It is to show that $G$ contains either a path $v_{1},\left(x_{1}\right), v_{2},\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots$,
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1},\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ or a path $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{v}_{1},\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{2},\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \ldots,\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-2}\right), \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$. If either $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1} \in \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$ or $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{v}_{1} \in \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$, then the desired result is obtained, thus assume that $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is adjacent to neither $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ nor $\mathrm{v}_{1}$. Also, if $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ and vk are mutually adjacent to some vertex other than the (at most) $2_{k-5}$ vertices on the path $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right), \mathrm{v}_{2}$, $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-2},\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-2}\right)$, the proof is complete; so assume that this is not the case. Hence $v_{k-1}$ and $v_{k}$ are mutually adjacent to at most $2_{\mathrm{k}-5}$ vertices. Since G has order n , it follows that $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are mutually adjacent to exactly $2_{\mathrm{k}-5}$ vertices (so all of the vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-2}$ exist), and every other vertex of $G$ is adjacent to exactly one of $v_{k-1}$, and $G$ contains the path $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}-2}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-2}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$, producing the desired path. Hence, the result.
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