Interval Valued Intuitionistic ($\overline{S}, \overline{T}$)- Fuzzy Ideals of Ternary Semigroups

Naveed Yaqoob¹, Madad Khan², Muhammad Akram^{3*} and Asghar Khan⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, Pakistan; nayaqoob@ymail.com ²Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, Pakistan; madadmath@yahoo.com ³Department of Mathematics, Higher College of Technology, Muscat, Oman; makram_69@yahoo.com ⁴Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Pakistan; azhar4set@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, the concept of interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy ternary subsemigroup (ideal) of a ternary semigroup with respect to interval t-norm \overline{T} and interval t-conorm \overline{S} is given and the characteristic properties are described. We characterized some other classes of ternary semigroups by the properties these interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy ternary subsemigroup (ideal) of a ternary semigroup. The homomorphic image and inverse image are also investigated.

Keywords: Ternary Semigroups, Interval Valued Intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) - Fuzzy Ternary Subsemigroups (Ideals).

1. Introduction

In 1932, Lehmer introduced the concept of ternary semigroup [1]. The algebraic structures of ternary semigroups were also studied by some authors, for example, Sioson studied ideals in ternary semigroups [2]. Dixit and Dewan studied quasi-ideals and bi-ideals in ternary semigroups [3], Iampan studied minimal and maximal lateral ideals of ternary semigroups [4].

The concept of a fuzzy set was formulated by Zadeh in [5], since then, the theory of fuzzy sets developed by Zadeh and others has evoked tremendous interest among researchers working in different branches of mathematics. Fuzzy semigroups were introduced by Kuroki [6] as a generalization of classical semigroups. Many classes of semigroups were studied by Kuroki using fuzzy ideals in [7]. Mordeson et. al. [8] gave a systematic exposition of fuzzy semigroups, where one can find theoretical results on fuzzy semigroups and their use in fuzzy coding, fuzzy finite state machines and fuzzy languages. Kar and Sarkar [9], introduced fuzzy ideals of ternary semigroups, also see [10-24].

After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh, there have been a number of generalizations of this fundamental concept. The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets [25, 26] and interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets [27, 28] are among them. Kim and Jun [29] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of semigroups and in [30], Kim and Lee studied intuitionistic fuzzy biideals of semigroups.

Kim et. al. [31] gave the concept of intuitionistic (T, S) normed fuzzy ideals of Γ -rings. Gujin and Xiapping [32], introduced the concept of interval-valued fuzzy subgroups induced by T-triangular norms. Akram and Dar in [33] introduced the idea of fuzzy left h-ideal in hemirings with respect to an s-norm. Zhan [34], studied the fuzzy left h-ideals in hemirings with t-norms. There are several authors who applied the theory of intuitionistic (S, T)-fuzzy sets to different algebraic structures for instance, Akram [35], Aygunoglu et al. [36], Davvaz et al.

*Corresponding author:

Muhammad Akram (makram_69@yahoo.com)

[37–39], Dudek et al. [40], Hedayati [41–43], Lee and Kim [44], Shum and Akram [45], and Zhan et al. [46, 47].

In this paper, we studied the idea of interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ideals in ternary semigroups and investigated some of related properties.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper G will denote a ternary semigroup.

DEFINITION 2.1: [1] A ternary semigroup is an algebraic structure (G, f) such that G is a non-empty set and f: $G^3 \rightarrow G$ is a ternary operation satisfying the following associative law:

$$f(f(a,b,c),d,e) = f(a, f(b,c,d),e) = f(a,b, f(c,d,e)).$$

For simplicity we write f(a, b, c) as *abc*.

DEFINITION 2.2: [2] A non-empty subset A of a ternary semigroup G is said to be a ternary subsemigroup of G if $AAA = A^3 \subseteq A$ that is $abc \in A$ for all a, b, $c \in A$.

DEFINITION 2.3: [2] By a left (right, lateral) ideal of a ternary semigroup G we mean a non-empty subset A of G such that $GGA \subseteq A$ ($AGG \subseteq A$, $GAG \subseteq A$). By a two sided ideal, we mean a subset A of G which is both a left and a right ideal of G. If a non-empty subset of G is a left, right and lateral ideal of G, then it is called an ideal of G.

DEFINITION 2.4: [9] An element *a* of a ternary semigroup *G* is called regular if there exists an element $x \in G$ such that axa = a. A ternary semigroup *G* is called regular if every element of *G* is regular.

Throughout this paper, let *I* be a closed unit interval, i.e., I = [0,1]. An interval number is $\overline{a} = [a^-, a^+]$, where $0 \le a^- \le a^+ \le 1$. Let [*I*] denote the set of all interval numbers, i.e., $[I] = \{\overline{a} = [a^-, a^+]: a^- \le a^+\}$, where $a^-, a^+ \in I$ and the elements in [*I*] are called the interval numbers on *I*.

We define the operation of the supremum, infimum and the orders with respect to the interval numbers on [I] as follows:

Let $\overline{a}_j \in [I]$, where $\overline{a}_j = [a_j^-, a_j^+]$, $a_j^-, a_j^+ \in I$, for all $j \in J$, *J* be an index set. Define

$$\bigwedge_{j \in J} a_j^- = \inf\{a_j^- : j \in J\}$$

and
$$\bigvee_{j \in J} a_j^- = \sup\{a_j^- : j \in J\},$$

$$\inf \overline{a}_j = [\land a_j^-, \land a_j^+], \sup \overline{a}_j = [\lor a_j^-, \lor a_j^+]$$

In particular, wherever $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in [I], \ \overline{a} = [a^-, a^+], \ b = [b^-, b^+]$, we define

- (1) $\overline{a} \leq \overline{b}$ iff $a^- \leq b^-, a^+ \leq b^+$;
- (2) $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ iff $a^- = b^-, a^+ = b^+;$
- (3) $\overline{a} < \overline{b}$ iff $\overline{a} \le \overline{b}$ and $\overline{a} \ne \overline{b}$.

From above, it is easy to infer that $\overline{a} < \overline{b}$ iff $a^- < b^$ and $a^+ \le b^+$ or $a^- \le b^-$ and $a^+ < b^+$.

Obviously, $([I], \leq, \sup, \inf)$ constitutes a complete lattice with the least element $\overline{0} = [0, 0]$ and the greatest element $\overline{1} = [1, 1]$ (see [48]).

DEFINITION 2.5: [49] Let X be an ordinary set, then the mapping $\overline{A} : X \rightarrow [I]$ is called an interval-valued fuzzy set (for short, IVFS) on X.

Let IF(X) denote the family of the interval-valued fuzzy sets on *X*. For each $\overline{A} \in IF(X)$, we have $\overline{A}(x) = [A^-(x), A^+(x)]$, where $A^-(x) \le A^+(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Then the ordinary fuzzy set $A^- : X \to I$ and $A^+ : X \to I$ is called a lower fuzzy set and an upper fuzzy set of \overline{A} , respectively. In addition, we define $\phi(x) = [0,0], X(x) = [1,1]$, for all $x \in X$. Obviously $\phi, X \in IF(X)$.

Let $\overline{A}, \overline{B} \in IF(X), x \in X$, we define

$$(\overline{A} \cup \overline{B})(x) = \overline{A}(x) \vee \overline{B}(x)$$

and $(\overline{A} \cap \overline{B})(x) = \overline{A}(x) \wedge \overline{B}(x)$.

Let $[I] \times [I]$ denote set of all double interval numbers, i.e., $[I] \times [I] = \{(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) = ([a^-, a^+], [b^-, b^+]): a^- \le a^+, b^- \le b^+, a^+$ $+ b^+ \le 1\}$ where the elements in $[I] \times [I]$ are called the double interval numbers on $I \times I$. We define the operation of the supremum, infimum and the orders with respect to the double interval numbers on $[I] \times [I]$, as follows:

Let $(\overline{a}_i, \overline{b}_i) \in [I] \times [I]$ where $\overline{a}_i = [a_i^-, a_i^+], \overline{b}_i = [b_i^-, b_i^+]$ with $a_i^+ + b_i^+ \le 1$, for all $i \in J, J$ be an index set. Define

$$\begin{split} & \bigwedge_{j \in J} (\overline{a}_i, \overline{b}_i) = \left(\bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{a}_i, \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{b}_i \right) \\ & = \left(\left[\bigwedge_{j \in J} a_i^-, \bigwedge_{j \in J} a_i^+ \right], \left[\bigwedge_{j \in J} b_i^-, \bigwedge_{j \in J} b_i^+ \right] \right), \\ & \bigvee_{j \in J} (\overline{a}_i, \overline{b}_i) = \left(\bigvee_{j \in J} \overline{a}_i, \bigvee_{j \in J} \overline{b}_i \right) \\ & = \left(\left[\bigvee_{j \in J} a_i^-, \bigvee_{j \in J} a_i^+ \right], \left[\bigvee_{j \in J} b_i^-, \bigvee_{j \in J} b_i^+ \right] \right). \end{split}$$

Obviously, $([I] \times [I], \leq, \sup, \inf)$ constitutes a complete lattice with the least element $\tilde{0} = ([0,0], [1,1])$ and the greatest element $\tilde{1} = ([1,1], [0,0])$.

DEFINITION 2.6: [28] Let X be an ordinary set, then the mapping $\tilde{A} : X \to [I] \times [I]$ defined by $\tilde{A}(x) = [\overline{M}_A(x), \overline{N}_A(x)]$, where $M_A^+(x) + N_A^+(x) \le 1$, for all $x \in X$ is called an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set (for short, IIF-set) on X.

Then the interval-valued fuzzy sets $\overline{M}_A : X \to [I]$, define by $\overline{M}_A(x) = \left[M_A^-(x), M_A^+(x)\right]$, where $M_A^-(x) \leq M_A^+(x)$ and $\overline{N}_A : X \to [I]$, defined by $\overline{N}_A(x) = [N_A^-(x), N_A^+(x)]$, where $N_A^-(x) \leq N_A^+(x)$, denote the degree of belongingness and the degree of nonbelongingness of each element $x \in X$ to the set \tilde{A} , respectively.

DEFINITION 2.7: [28] Let $\tilde{A} = (\bar{M}_A, \bar{N}_A)$ and $\tilde{B} = (\bar{M}_B, \bar{N}_B)$ be interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets in a set S. Then

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) \quad \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B} \mbox{ if and only if } \overline{M}_A \leq \overline{M}_B \mbox{ and } \overline{N}_A \geq \overline{N}_B. \\ (2) \quad \tilde{A}^c = \left(\overline{N}_A, \overline{M}_A\right). \\ (3) \quad \tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B} = \left(\overline{M}_A \wedge \overline{M}_B, \overline{N}_A \vee \overline{N}_B\right). \\ (4) \quad \tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B} = \left(\overline{M}_A \vee \overline{M}_B, \overline{N}_A \wedge \overline{N}_B\right). \\ (5) \quad \Box \quad \tilde{A} = \left(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_A^*\right) \mbox{ where } \overline{M}_A^* = 1 - \overline{M}_A. \\ (6) \quad \Diamond \quad \tilde{A} = \left(\overline{N}_A^*, \overline{N}_A\right) \mbox{ where } \overline{N}_A^* = 1 - \overline{N}_A. \end{array}$

Let IIF(X) denote the family of all the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets on *X*.

DEFINITION 2.8: [50] Let \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} be interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Then define

- (a) $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{B}$ if and only if $\overline{M}_A \leq \overline{M}_B$ and $\overline{N}_A \geq \overline{N}_B$.
- (b) $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}$ if and only if $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{A}$.
- (c) If $\{A_i : i \in J\}$ is a family of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, then

$$\begin{split} \bigcup_{i \in J} \widetilde{A}_i(x) &= \left(\bigcup \overline{M}_{A_i}(x), \bigcap \overline{N}_{A_i}(x) \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [\lor M_{A_i}^-(x), \lor M_{A_i}^+(x)], \\ [\land N_{A_i}^-(x), \land N_{A_i}^+(x)] \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \bigcap_{i \in J} \tilde{A}_i(x) &= \left(\bigcap \bar{M}_{A_i}(x), \bigcup \bar{N}_{A_i}(x)\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [\wedge M^-_{A_i}(x), \wedge M^+_{A_i}(x)], \\ [\vee N^-_{A_i}(x), \vee N^+_{A_i}(x)] \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(d) $\tilde{0} = ([0,0],[1,1])$ and $\tilde{1} = ([1,1],[0,0])$.

DEFINITION 2.9: Let \tilde{A} be an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzyset. For arbitrary $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2] \in [I]$ with $\lambda_2 + \theta_2 \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} & let \ the \ set \ \tilde{A}_{([\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}],[\theta_{1},\theta_{2}])} = \{x \in X \ : \ \bar{M}_{A}(x) \geq [\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}], \ \bar{N}_{A}(x) \\ & \leq [\theta_{1},\theta_{2}]\}, \ then \ \tilde{A}_{([\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}],[\theta_{1},\theta_{2}])} \ is \ called \ a \ ([\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}],[\theta_{1},\theta_{2}]) - \\ & level \ set \ of \ \tilde{A}. \ Obviously, \ \tilde{A}_{([\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}],[\theta_{1},\theta_{2}])} = \bar{M}_{A_{[\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}]}} \cap \bar{N}_{A_{[\theta_{1},\theta_{2}]}} \\ & where \ \bar{M}_{A_{[\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}]}} = M_{A_{\lambda_{1}}}^{-} \cap M_{A_{\lambda_{2}}}^{+} \ and \ \bar{N}_{A_{[\theta_{1},\theta_{2}]}} = N_{A_{\theta_{1}}}^{-} \cap N_{A_{\theta_{2}}}^{+}. \end{split}$$

DEFINITION 2.10: [36] A mapping \overline{T} : $[I] \times [I] \rightarrow [I]$ is called an interval t-norm defined on $[I] \times [I]$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\overline{T}(\overline{a},\overline{1}) = \overline{a}, \forall a \in [I],$
- (2) $\overline{T}(\overline{a},\overline{b}) = \overline{T}(\overline{b},\overline{a}), \ \forall \overline{a},\overline{b} \in [I],$
- (3) $\overline{T}(\overline{a},\overline{T}(\overline{b},\overline{c})) = \overline{T}(\overline{T}(\overline{a},\overline{b}),\overline{c}), \ \forall \overline{a},\overline{b},\overline{c} \in [I],$
- (4) If $\overline{a} \leq \overline{c}, \overline{b} \leq \overline{d}$, then $\overline{T}(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \leq \overline{T}(\overline{c}, \overline{d}), \forall \overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c}, \overline{d} \in [I]$.

If $\overline{T}(\overline{a},\overline{a}) = \overline{a}$ for all $\overline{a} \in [I]$, then \overline{T} is called an idempotent interval t-norm.

PROPOSITION 2.11: [36] Let \overline{T} be an interval t-norm. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\overline{T}(\overline{a},\overline{0}) = \overline{0}, \ \forall \overline{a} \in [I].$
- (ii) $\overline{T}(\overline{a},\overline{b}) \leq \overline{a} \wedge \overline{b}, \ \forall \overline{a},\overline{b} \in [I].$

DEFINITION 2.12: [36] A mapping $\overline{S} : [I] \times [I] \rightarrow [I]$ is called an interval t-conorm defined on $[I] \times [I]$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\overline{S}(\overline{a},\overline{0}) = \overline{a}, \forall \overline{a} \in [I],$
- (2) $\overline{S}(\overline{a},\overline{b}) = \overline{S}(\overline{b},\overline{a}), \ \forall \overline{a},\overline{b} \in [I],$
- (3) $\overline{S}(\overline{a},\overline{S}(\overline{b},\overline{c})) = \overline{S}(\overline{S}(\overline{a},\overline{b}),\overline{c}), \ \forall \overline{a},\overline{b},\overline{c} \in [I],$
- (4) If $\overline{a} \le \overline{c}, \overline{b} \le \overline{d}$, then $\overline{S}(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \le \overline{S}(\overline{c}, d), \ \forall \overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{c}, \overline{d} \in [I]$.

If $\overline{S}(\overline{a},\overline{a}) = \overline{a}$ for all $\overline{a} \in [I]$, then \overline{S} is called an idempotent interval t-conorm.

PROPOSITION 2.13: [36] Let \overline{S} be an interval t-conorm. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\overline{S}(\overline{a},\overline{1}) = \overline{1}, \forall \overline{a} \in [I].$
- (ii) $\overline{S}(\overline{a},\overline{b}) \ge \overline{a} \lor \overline{b}, \forall \overline{a},\overline{b} \in [I].$

3. Interval Valued Intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -Fuzzy Ideals of Ternary Semigroups

In this section, we define interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideals of ternary semigroups and prove some basic properties of these ideals. **DEFINITION 3.1:** Let G be a ternary semigroup. An IIFsubset $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ of G is called an interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G if

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_A(xyz) &\geq \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A(x), \bar{M}_A(y), \bar{M}_A(z)) \\ \text{and } \bar{N}_A(xyz) &\leq \bar{S}(\bar{N}_A(x), \bar{N}_A(y), \bar{N}_A(z)) \end{split}$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$.

DEFINITION 3.2: An IIF-subset $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ of *G* is called an interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of *G* if $\overline{M}_A(xyz) \ge \overline{M}_A(z)$ ($\overline{M}_A(xyz) \ge \overline{M}_A(x)$, $\overline{M}_A(xyz) \ge \overline{M}_A(y)$) and $\overline{N}_A(xyz) \le \overline{N}_A(z)$ ($\overline{N}_A(xyz) \le \overline{N}_A(x)$, $\overline{N}_A(xyz) \le \overline{N}_A(y)$) for all $x, y, z \in G$.

An IIF-subset $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ of G is called an interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ideal of G if it is an interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left ideal, interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy right ideal and interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy lateral ideal of G.

EXAMPLE 3.3: Let $G = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be a ternary semigroup with the following Cayley table

Let $\tilde{A} = (\bar{M}_A, \bar{N}_A)$ be an interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset of *G* such that

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{[0.65, 0.7]}, \frac{2}{[0.5, 0.57]}, \frac{3}{[0.56, 0.59]}, \frac{4}{[0.56, 0.59]}\right), \\ \left(\frac{1}{[0.2, 0.28]}, \frac{2}{[0.35, 0.4]}, \frac{3}{[0.35, 0.4]}, \frac{4}{[0.3, 0.36]}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Corresponding interval t-norm and interval s-norm are defined as

$$\overline{T}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = [\max\{x^{-} + y^{-} - 1, 0\}, \max\{x^{+} + y^{+} - 1, 0\}]$$

and $\overline{S}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = [\min\{x^{-} + y^{-}, 1\}, \min\{x^{+} + y^{+}, 1\}]$

for all $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in [I]$. By routine calculations we can check that the IIF-subset \tilde{A} is an interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ideal of G.

DEFINITION 3.4: Let $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$, $\tilde{B} = (\overline{M}_B, \overline{N}_B)$ and $\tilde{C} = (\overline{M}_C, \overline{N}_C)$ be three IIF-subsets of G. The product $\tilde{A} \circ \tilde{B} \circ \tilde{C} = (\overline{M}_{A \circ B \circ C}, \overline{N}_{A \circ B \circ C})$ is defined by

$$\bar{M}_{A \circ B \circ C}(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_{A}(a), \bar{M}_{B}(b), \bar{M}_{C}(c)), \\ \text{if } \exists a, b, c \in G, \text{ such that } x = abc \\ [0,0], \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{N}_{A \circ B \circ C}(x) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{x=abc} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_{A}(a), \overline{N}_{B}(b), \overline{N}_{C}(c)), \\ \text{if } \exists a, b, c \in G, \text{ such that } x = abc \\ [1,1], otherwise. \end{cases}$$

We note that the ternary semigroup G can be considered as an IIF-subset of itself and we write $\tilde{G} = (\bar{M}_G, \bar{N}_G)$. And $\tilde{G} = (\bar{M}_G, \bar{N}_G)$ will be carried out in operations with an IIF-subset $\tilde{A} = (\bar{M}_A, \bar{N}_A)$ such that \bar{M}_G and \bar{N}_G will be used in collaboration with \bar{M}_A and \bar{N}_A respectively.

We shall denote the set of all interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy subsets of G by IIF(G,S,T).

LEMMA 3.5: Let $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ and $\tilde{B} = (\overline{M}_B, \overline{N}_B)$ be two interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroups of G. Then $(\overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B), \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B))$ is also an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G.

PROOF: Let $\tilde{A} = (\bar{M}_A, \bar{N}_A)$ and $\tilde{B} = (\bar{M}_B, \bar{N}_B)$ be two interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroups of *G*. Then for $x, y, z \in G$, we have

$$\begin{split} \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B)(xyz) &= \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(xyz), \overline{M}_B(xyz)) \\ &\geq \overline{T} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(x), \overline{M}_A(y), \overline{M}_A(z)), \\ \overline{T}(\overline{M}_B(x), \overline{M}_B(y), \overline{M}_B(z)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \overline{T} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(x), \overline{M}_B(y)), \\ \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(y), \overline{M}_B(y)), \\ \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(z), \overline{M}_B(z)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \overline{T} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B)(y), \\ \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B)(y), \\ \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B)(y), \\ \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B)(z) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

And

$$\begin{split} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B)(xyz) &= \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(xyz), \overline{N}_B(xyz)) \\ &\leq \overline{S} \Biggl(\frac{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(x), \overline{N}_A(y), \overline{N}_A(z)),}{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_B(x), \overline{N}_B(y), \overline{N}_B(z))} \Biggr) \\ &= \overline{S} \Biggl(\frac{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(x), \overline{N}_B(y), \overline{N}_B(z))}{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(z), \overline{N}_B(y)),} \\ &= \overline{S} \Biggl(\frac{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, (x), \overline{N}_B(y)),}{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(z), \overline{N}_B(z))} \Biggr) \\ &= \overline{S} \Biggl(\frac{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B)(x),}{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B)(y),} \\ &= \overline{S} \Biggl(\frac{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B)(y),}{\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B)(z)} \Biggr) \end{split}$$

Hence this shows that $(\overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B), \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B))$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemi-group of G.

LEMMA 3.6: If $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ and $\tilde{B} = (\overline{M}_B, \overline{N}_B)$ are two interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideals of G. Then $(\overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B), \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B))$ is also an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) of G.

LEMMA 3.7: If $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroups of G. Then,

- (1) $\bigcap_{i\in I} \tilde{A}_i$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G,
- (2) $\bigcup_{i \in I} \tilde{A}_i$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G,

where
$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \tilde{A}_i = \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{M}_{A_i}, \bigvee_{i \in I} \bar{N}_{A_i}\right)$$
 and $\bigcup_{i \in I} \tilde{A}_i = \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \bar{M}_{A_i}, \bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{N}_{A_i}\right)$.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

LEMMA 3.8: If $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideals of G. Then,

- (1) $\bigcap_{i \in I} \tilde{A}_i$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G,
- (2) $\bigcup_{i \in I} \tilde{A}_i$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G,

where
$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \tilde{A}_i = \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \overline{M}_{A_i}, \bigvee_{i \in I} \overline{N}_{A_i}\right)$$
 and $\bigcup_{i \in I} \tilde{A}_i = \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \overline{M}_{A_i}, \bigwedge_{i \in I} \overline{N}_{A_i}\right)$.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

THEOREM 3.9: The product of three interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideals of G is again an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

THEOREM 3.10: Let \tilde{A} be an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S},\bar{T}) -fuzzy right ideal, \tilde{B} an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S},\bar{T}) -fuzzy lateral ideal and \tilde{C} an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S},\bar{T}) -fuzzy left ideal of a ternary semigroup G. Then

$$\overline{M}_{A\circ B\circ C} \leq \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B, \overline{M}_C) \text{ and } \overline{N}_{A\circ B\circ C} \geq \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B, \overline{N}_C).$$

PROOF: Let \tilde{A} be an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy right ideal, \tilde{B} an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy lateral ideal and \tilde{C} an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy left ideal of a ternary semigroup G. If x is not expressible as x = abc, then $\bar{M}_{A \circ B \circ C}(x) = [0,0] \le \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A, \bar{M}_B, \bar{M}_C)$ and $\bar{N}_{A \circ B \circ C}(x) = [1,1] \ge \bar{S}(\bar{N}_A, \bar{N}_B, \bar{N}_C)(x)$.

If *x* is expressible as x = abc, then

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_{A \circ B \circ C}\left(x\right) &= \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_{A}(a), \bar{M}_{B}(b), \bar{M}_{C}(c)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_{A}(abc), \bar{M}_{B}(abc), \bar{M}_{C}(abc)) \\ &= \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_{A}(x), \bar{M}_{B}(x), \bar{M}_{C}(x)) \\ &= \bar{T}(\bar{M}_{A}, \bar{M}_{B}, \bar{M}_{C})(x). \end{split}$$

And

$$\begin{split} \overline{N}_{A \circ B \circ C} \left(x \right) &= \bigwedge_{x = abc} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(a), \overline{N}_B(b), \overline{N}_C(c)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x = abc} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(abc), \overline{N}_B(abc), \overline{N}_C(abc)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x = abc} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(x), \overline{N}_B(x), \overline{N}_C(x)) \\ &= \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B, \overline{N}_C) (x). \end{split}$$

Thus $\overline{M}_{A \circ B \circ C} \leq \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B, \overline{M}_C)$ and $\overline{N}_{A \circ B \circ C} \geq \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B, \overline{N}_C)$. **THEOREM 3.11:** Let \widetilde{A} be an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy right ideal and \widetilde{B} be an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left ideal of a ternary semigroup G. Then

$$\overline{M}_{A \circ G \circ B} \leq \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B) \text{ and } \overline{N}_{A \circ G \circ B} \geq \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B).$$

Where $\overline{M}_G(x) = [1,1]$ and $\overline{N}_G(x) = [0,0]$ for all *x* in *G*.

PROOF: Let \tilde{A} be an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy right ideal and \tilde{B} be an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left ideal of G. Let $a \in G$. If a is not expressible as a = xyz for some $x, y, z \in G$, then

$$\overline{M}_{A \circ G \circ B}\left(a\right) = [1,1] \ge \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B)\left(a\right).$$

and,

$$\overline{N}_{A \circ G \circ B}(a) = [0,0] \le \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B)(a).$$

If *a* is expressible as a = xyz for some $x, y, z \in G$, then

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_{A \circ G \circ B}\left(a\right) &= \bigvee_{a=xyz} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A(x), \bar{M}_G(y), \bar{M}_B(z)) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xyz} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A(x), [1,1], \bar{M}_B(z)) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xyz} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A(x), \bar{M}_B(z)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{a=xyz} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A(xyz), \bar{M}_B(xyz)) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xyz} \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A(a), \bar{M}_B(a)) \\ &= \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A, \bar{M}_B)(a). \end{split}$$

and,

$$\overline{N}_{A \circ G \circ B}(a) = \bigwedge_{a = xyz} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(x), \overline{N}_G(y), \overline{N}_B(z))$$

$$= \bigwedge_{a=xyz} S(\overline{N}_{A}(x), [0, 0], \overline{N}_{B}(z))$$

$$= \bigwedge_{a=xyz} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_{A}(x), \overline{N}_{B}(z))$$

$$\geq \bigwedge_{a=xyz} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_{A}(xyz), \overline{N}_{B}(xyz))$$

$$= \bigwedge_{a=xyz} \overline{S}(\overline{N}_{A}(a), \overline{N}_{B}(a))$$

$$= \overline{S}(\overline{N}_{A}, \overline{N}_{B})(a).$$

Thus $\overline{M}_{A \circ G \circ B} \leq \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B)$ and $\overline{N}_{A \circ G \circ B} \geq \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B)$. **THEOREM 3.12:** Let $\widetilde{A} \in IIF(G, S, T)$. Then \widetilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G if and only if

$$\tilde{A} \circ \tilde{A} \circ \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}.$$

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

THEOREM 3.13: Let $\tilde{A} \in IIF(G, S, T)$. Then \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G if and only if $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ ($\tilde{A} \circ \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$, $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{A} \circ \tilde{G} \subseteq \tilde{A}$).

PROOF: Suppose \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy left ideal of G. To show that $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}$. Let $x \in G$, such that

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_{G \circ G \circ A}\left(x\right) &= \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{T}\left(\bar{M}_{G}\left(a\right), \bar{M}_{G}\left(b\right), \bar{M}_{A}\left(c\right)\right) \\ &= \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{T}\left([1,1], [1,1], \bar{M}_{A}\left(c\right)\right) \\ &= \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{M}_{A}\left(c\right) \leq \bigvee_{x=abc} \bar{M}_{A}\left(abc\right) \\ &= \bar{M}_{A}\left(x\right). \end{split}$$

And

$$\begin{split} \overline{N}_{G \circ G \circ A}\left(x\right) &= \bigwedge_{x=abc} \overline{S}\left(\overline{N}_{G}\left(a\right), \overline{N}_{G}\left(b\right), \overline{N}_{A}\left(c\right)\right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x=abc} \overline{S}\left([0,0], [0,0], \overline{N}_{A}\left(c\right)\right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x=abc} \overline{N}_{A}\left(c\right) \geq \bigwedge_{x=abc} \overline{N}_{A}\left(abc\right) \\ &= \overline{N}_{A}\left(x\right). \end{split}$$

If *x* is not expressible as x = abc for all $a, b, c \in G$, then

$$\overline{M}_{G \circ G \circ A}\left(x\right) = [0,0] \le \overline{M}_{A}\left(x\right)$$

and $\overline{N}_{G \circ G \circ A}\left(x\right) = [1,1] \ge \overline{N}_{A}\left(x\right)$.

Hence $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}$.

Conversely, assume that $\tilde{G} \circ \tilde{G} \circ \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}$. Let $x, y, z \in S$. Then

$$\begin{split} \overline{M}_{A}(xyz) &\geq \overline{M}_{G \circ G \circ A}(xyz) \\ &= \bigvee_{xyz=abc} \overline{T} \left(\overline{M}_{G}(a), \overline{M}_{G}(b), \overline{M}_{A}(c) \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{xyz=abc} \overline{T} \left([1,1], [1,1], \overline{M}_{A}(c) \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{xyz=abc} \overline{M}_{A}(c) \geq \overline{M}_{A}(z). \end{split}$$

And

$$\begin{split} \bar{N}_{A}\left(xyz\right) &\geq \bar{N}_{G \circ G \circ A}\left(xyz\right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{xyz=abc} \bar{S}\left(\bar{N}_{G}\left(a\right), \bar{N}_{G}\left(b\right), \bar{N}_{A}\left(c\right)\right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{xyz=abc} \bar{S}\left([0,0], [0,0], \bar{N}_{A}\left(c\right)\right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{xyz=abc} \bar{N}_{A}\left(c\right) \geq \bar{N}_{A}\left(z\right). \end{split}$$

Thus \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left ideal of G. The other cases can be seen in a similar way.

THEOREM 3.14: Let $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ be an IIF-subset of G. Then \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup (resp. left ideal, right ideal, lateral ideal) of G if and only if $\tilde{A}_{([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2])}$ is a ternary subsemigroup (resp. left ideal, right ideal, lateral ideal) of G, for all $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2] \in [I]$.

PROOF: Assume that every non-empty level subset of \tilde{A} is a ternary subsemigroup of *G*. Let $x, y, z \in G$ be such that

$$\begin{split} \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(x),\overline{M}_A(y),\overline{M}_A(z)) &> \overline{M}_A(xyz) \\ \text{and } \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(x),\overline{N}_A(y),\overline{N}_A(z)) &< \overline{N}_A(xyz). \end{split}$$

Choose $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2] \in [I]$ such that

$$\overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(x), \overline{M}_A(y), \overline{M}_A(z)) \ge [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] > \overline{M}_A(xyz)$$

and

$$\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(x), \overline{N}_A(y), \overline{N}_A(z)) \leq [\theta_1, \theta_2] < \overline{N}_A(xyz)$$

This implies that $x, y, z \in \tilde{A}_{([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\beta_1, \beta_2])}$ but $xyz \notin \tilde{A}_{([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\beta_1, \beta_2])}$. Which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\begin{split} \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A(x),\overline{M}_A(y),\overline{M}_A(z)) &\leq \overline{M}_A(xyz) \\ \text{and } \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A(x),\overline{N}_A(y),\overline{N}_A(z)) &\geq \overline{N}_A(xyz). \end{split}$$

Thus \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G.

Conversely, assume that \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S},\bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G. Let $x, y, z \in \tilde{A}_{([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2])}$. Then $\bar{M}_A(x) \ge [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$, $\bar{M}_A(y) \ge [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$, $\bar{M}_A(z) \ge [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ and $\bar{N}_A(x) \le [\theta_1, \theta_2]$, $\bar{N}_A(y) \le [\theta_1, \theta_2]$, $\bar{N}_A(z) \le [\theta_1, \theta_2]$. Since

$$\begin{split} & \bar{M}_A(xyz) \geq \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A(x), \bar{M}_A(y), \bar{M}_A(z)) \\ & \text{and } \bar{N}_A(xyz) \leq \bar{S}(\bar{N}_A(x), \bar{N}_A(y), \bar{N}_A(z)), \end{split}$$

so,

$$\begin{split} \overline{M}_A(xyz) &\geq \overline{T}([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]) = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \\ \text{and } \overline{N}_A(xyz) &\leq \overline{S}([\theta_1, \theta_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2]) = [\theta_1, \theta_2]. \end{split}$$

This implies that $\overline{M}_A(xyz) \ge [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ and $\overline{N}_A(xyz) \le [\theta_1, \theta_2]$. Thus $xyz \in \tilde{A}_{([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2])}$ and hence, $\tilde{A}_{([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2])}$ is a ternary subsemigroup of *G*. The other cases can seen in a similar way.

THEOREM 3.15: A non-empty subset B of a ternary semigroup G is a ternary subsemigroup of G if and only if the interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy subset $\widetilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ defined by

$$\overline{M}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [a_1, a_2] & \text{if } x \in G - B \\ [a_3, a_4] & \text{if } x \in B \end{cases}$$

and
$$\overline{N}_A(x) = \begin{cases} [b_1, b_2] & \text{if } x \in G - B \\ [b_3, b_4] & \text{if } x \in B \end{cases}$$

is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G, where $[0,0] \leq [a_1,a_2] < [a_3,a_4]$ and $[0,0] \leq [b_3,b_4] < [b_1,b_2]$.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

THEOREM 3.16: A non-empty subset B of a ternary semigroup G is a left (right, lateral) ideal of G if and only if the interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy subset $\widetilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ defined by

$$\bar{M}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} [a_{1}, a_{2}] & \text{if } x \in G - B \\ [a_{3}, a_{4}] & \text{if } x \in B \end{cases}$$

and $\bar{N}_{A}(x) = \begin{cases} [b_{1}, b_{2}] & \text{if } x \in G - B \\ [b_{3}, b_{4}] & \text{if } x \in B \end{cases}$

is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G, where $[0,0] \leq [a_1,a_2] < [a_3,a_4]$ and $[0,0] \leq [b_3,b_4] < [b_1,b_2]$.

PROOF: Let *B* be a left ideal of *G*. Now, let $x, y, z \in G$. Let $z \in B$. Then $xyz \in B$. Hence

$$\overline{M}_A(xyz) = [a_3, a_4] = \overline{M}_A(z)$$

and $\overline{N}_A(xyz) = [b_3, b_4] = \overline{N}_A(z).$

If $z \notin B$, then

$$\overline{M}_{A}(z) = [a_{1}, a_{2}] \le \overline{M}_{A}(xyz)$$

and $\overline{N}_{A}(z) = [b_{1}, b_{2}] \ge \overline{N}_{A}(xyz).$

Hence \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left ideal of G.

Conversely, assume that \tilde{A} is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy left ideal of *G*. If $z \in B$ and $x, y \in G$, then

$$\overline{M}_A(xyz) \ge \overline{M}_A(z) = [a_3, a_4]$$

and $\overline{N}_A(xyz) \le \overline{N}_A(z) = [b_3, b_4].$

This implies that $\overline{M}_A(xyz) = [a_3, a_4]$ and $\overline{N}_A(xyz) = [b_3, b_4]$, that is $xyz \in B$. Hence *B* is a left ideal of *G*.

DEFINITION 3.17: Let G be a ternary semigroup and let $\phi \neq A \subseteq G$. Then interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy characteristic function $\tilde{\chi}_A = (\overline{M}_{\chi_A}, \overline{N}_{\chi_A})$ of A is defined as

$$\overline{M}_{\chi_A}(x) = \begin{cases} [1,1] & \text{if } x \in A \\ [0,0] & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

and
$$\overline{N}_{\chi_A}(x) = \begin{cases} [0,0] & \text{if } x \in A \\ [1,1] & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 3.18: Let A be a non-empty subset of a ternary semigroup G. Then A is a ternary subsemigroup of G if and only if $\tilde{\chi}_A$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G.

PROOF: Let *A* be a ternary subsemigroup of *G*. For any $x, y, z \in G$, we have the following cases:

Case (1): If $x, y, z \in A$, then $xyz \in A$. since A is a ternary subsemigroup of G. Then $\overline{M}_{\chi_A}(xyz) = [1,1], \overline{M}_{\chi_A}(x) = [1,1], \overline{M}_{\chi_A}(x) = [1,1], \overline{M}_{\chi_A}(x) = [1,1]$. Therefore

$$\overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) = \overline{T}\left(\overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(x), \overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(y), \overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(z)\right).$$

and $\bar{N}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) = [0,0], \ \bar{N}_{\chi_{A}}(x) = [0,0], \ \bar{N}_{\chi_{A}}(y) = [0,0]$ and $\bar{N}_{\chi_{A}}(z) = [0,0]$. Therefore

$$\overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) = \overline{S}\left(\overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(x), \overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(y), \overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(z)\right).$$

Case (2): If $x \notin A$ or $y \notin A$ or $z \notin A$, then $\overline{M}_{\chi_A}(x) = [0,0]$ or $\overline{M}_{\chi_A}(y) = [0,0]$ or $\overline{M}_{\chi_A}(z) = [0,0]$. So

$$\overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) \geq [0,0] = \overline{T}\left(\overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(x), \overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(y), \overline{M}_{\chi_{A}}(z)\right).$$

and $\overline{N}_{\chi_A}(x) = [1,1]$ or $\overline{N}_{\chi_A}(y) = [1,1]$ or $\overline{N}_{\chi_A}(z) = [1,1]$. So

$$\overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) \leq [1,1] = \overline{S}\left(\overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(x), \overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(y), \overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(z)\right)$$

Hence, $\tilde{\chi}_A$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of *G*.

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{\chi}_A$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of *G* and let *x*, *y*, *z* \in *A*. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) &\geq \bar{T}\left(\bar{M}_{\chi_{A}}(x), \bar{M}_{\chi_{A}}(y), \bar{M}_{\chi_{A}}(z)\right) = [1,1]\\ \bar{M}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) &\geq [1,1] \text{ but } \bar{M}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) \leq [1,1]\\ \end{split}$$
Thus $\bar{M}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) = [1,1].$

and

$$\overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) \leq \overline{S}\left(\overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(x), \overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(y), \overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(z)\right) = [0,0]$$

$$\overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) \leq [0,0] \text{ but } \overline{N}_{\chi_{A}}(xyz) \geq [0,0]$$

Thus $\overline{N}_{\chi_A}(xyz) = [0,0].$

Hence $xyz \in A$. Therefore A is a ternary subsemigroup of G.

THEOREM 3.19: Let A be a non-empty subset of a ternary semigroup G. Then A is a left (right, lateral) ideal of G if and only if $\tilde{\chi}_A$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

DEFINITION 3.20: A mapping $\eta : [I] \rightarrow [I]$ is called a negation if it satisfies

- (1) $\eta([0,0]) = [1,1], \eta([1,1]) = [0,0],$
- (2) η is non-increasing,
- (3) $\eta(\eta(\overline{x})) = \overline{x}$.

REMARK 3.21: The interval t-norm and interval s-conorm are said to be dual with respect to the negation $\eta(\bar{x}) = [1,1] - \bar{x}$, if

$$\overline{T}(\overline{x},\overline{y}) = \overline{T}\left(\eta(\eta((\overline{x}),\eta((\overline{y})))\right).$$

This holds with respect to η if and only if $\overline{S}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \overline{S}(\eta(\eta((\overline{x}), \eta((\overline{y})))).$

THEOREM 3.22: Let $\tilde{A} \in IIF(G, S, T)$. If \tilde{A} is an intervalvalued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G, then

- (1) $\square \tilde{A}$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G.
- (2) $\Diamond \tilde{A}$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G.

PROOF: (1) It is sufficient to prove that for all $x, y, z \in G$, \overline{M}_A^* satisfies

$$\overline{M}_A^*(xyz) \le \overline{S}(\overline{M}_A^*(x), \overline{M}_A^*(y), \overline{M}_A^*(z)).$$

Now let $x, y, z \in G$, we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_{A}^{*}(xyz) &= [1,1] - \bar{M}_{A}(xyz) \\ &\leq [1,1] - \left\{ \bar{T} \left(\bar{M}_{A}(x), \bar{M}_{A}(y), \bar{M}_{A}(z) \right) \right\} \\ &= \eta \left\{ \bar{T} \left(\bar{M}_{A}(x), \bar{M}_{A}(y), \bar{M}_{A}(z) \right) \right\} \\ &= \bar{S} \left(\eta \bar{M}_{A}(x), \eta \bar{M}_{A}(y), \eta \bar{M}_{A}(z) \right) \\ &= \bar{S} \left([1,1] - \bar{M}_{A}(x), [1,1] - \bar{M}_{A}(y), [1,1] - \bar{M}_{A}(z) \right) \\ &= \bar{S} (\bar{M}_{A}^{*}(x), \bar{M}_{A}^{*}(y), \bar{M}_{A}^{*}(z)). \end{split}$$

This shows that $\overline{M}_{A}^{*}(xyz) \leq \overline{S}(\overline{M}_{A}^{*}(x), \overline{M}_{A}^{*}(y), \overline{M}_{A}^{*}(z)).$ (2) It is sufficient to prove that for all $x, y, z \in G$, \overline{N}_{A}^{*} satisfies

$$\overline{N}_A^*(xyz) \ge \overline{T}(\overline{N}_A^*(x), \overline{N}_A^*(y), \overline{N}_A^*(z)).$$

Now, let $x, y, z \in G$, we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{N}_A^*(xyz) &= [1,1] - \bar{N}_A(xyz) \\ &\geq [1,1] - \left\{ \overline{S} \left(\overline{N}_A(x), \overline{N}_A(y), \overline{N}_A(z) \right) \right\} \\ &= \eta \left\{ \overline{S} \left(\overline{N}_A(x), \overline{N}_A(y), \overline{N}_A(z) \right) \right\} \\ &= \overline{T} \left(\eta \overline{N}_A(x), \eta \overline{N}_A(y), \eta \overline{N}_A(z) \right) \\ &= \overline{T} \left([1,1] - \overline{N}_A(x), [1,1] - \overline{N}_A(y), [1,1] - \overline{N}_A(z) \right) \\ &= \overline{T} (\overline{N}_A^*(x), \overline{N}_A^*(y), \overline{N}_A^*(z)). \end{split}$$

This shows that $\overline{N}_A^*(xyz) \ge \overline{T}(\overline{N}_A^*(x), \overline{N}_A^*(y), \overline{N}_A^*(z)).$

THEOREM 3.23: Let $\tilde{A} \in IIF(G, S, T)$. If \tilde{A} is an intervalvalued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G, then

- (1) $\Box \tilde{A}$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G.
- (2) $\Diamond \tilde{A}$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S},\overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

THEOREM 3.24: Let $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C} \in IIF(G, S, T)$. Let G is regular then $\overline{M}_{A \circ B \circ C} = \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B, \overline{M}_C)$ and $\overline{N}_{A \circ B \circ C} =$

 $\overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B, \overline{N}_C)$ for every interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy right ideal \tilde{A} , every interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy lateral ideal \tilde{B} and every interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left ideal \tilde{C} of G.

PROOF: Let \tilde{A} be an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) fuzzy right ideal, \tilde{B} an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) fuzzy lateral ideal and \tilde{C} an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy left ideal of G. Then $\bar{M}_{A \circ B \circ C} \leq \bar{T}(\bar{M}_A, \bar{M}_B, \bar{M}_C)$ and $\bar{N}_{A \circ B \circ C} \geq \bar{S}(\bar{N}_A, \bar{N}_B, \bar{N}_C)$. Let $a \in S$. Then there exists $x \in S$ such that a = axa = a(xax)a. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{M}_{A \circ B \circ C}\left(a\right) &= \bigvee_{a = xyz} \bar{T}\left(\bar{M}_{A}\left(x\right), \bar{M}_{B}\left(y\right), \bar{M}_{C}\left(z\right)\right) \\ &\geq \bar{T}\left(\bar{M}_{A}\left(a\right), \bar{M}_{B}\left(xax\right), \bar{M}_{C}\left(a\right)\right) \\ &\geq \bar{T}\left(\bar{M}_{A}\left(a\right), \bar{M}_{B}\left(a\right), \bar{M}_{C}\left(a\right)\right) \\ &= \bar{T}(\bar{M}_{A}, \bar{M}_{B}, \bar{M}_{C})(a). \end{split}$$

and,

$$\begin{split} \bar{N}_{A \circ B \circ C}\left(a\right) &= \bigwedge_{a=xyz} \overline{S}\left(\bar{N}_{A}\left(x\right), \bar{N}_{B}\left(y\right), \bar{N}_{C}\left(z\right)\right) \\ &\leq \overline{S}\left(\bar{N}_{A}\left(a\right), \bar{N}_{B}\left(xax\right), \bar{N}_{C}\left(a\right)\right) \\ &\leq \overline{S}\left(\bar{N}_{A}\left(a\right), \bar{N}_{B}\left(a\right), \bar{N}_{C}\left(a\right)\right) \\ &= \overline{S}(\bar{N}_{A}, \bar{N}_{B}, \bar{N}_{C})(a). \end{split}$$

So, we get $\overline{M}_{A \circ B \circ C} \geq \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B, \overline{M}_C)$ and $\overline{N}_{A \circ B \circ C} \leq \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B, \overline{N}_C)$. Hence $\overline{M}_{A \circ B \circ C} = \overline{T}(\overline{M}_A, \overline{M}_B, \overline{M}_C)$ and $\overline{N}_{A \circ B \circ C} = \overline{S}(\overline{N}_A, \overline{N}_B, \overline{N}_C)$.

4. Homomorphic Images and Preimages

In this section, we discuss some properties of homomorphic image and preimage of interval valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideals of ternary semigroups.

DEFINITION 4.1: [50] Let X and Y be two given ordinary sets and $f: X \to Y$ be a function. Let $\tilde{A} \in IIF(X)$ and $\tilde{B} \in IIF(Y)$. Then the image and preimage is defined by $f(\tilde{A})(y) = (f(\overline{M}_A)(y), f(\overline{N}_A)(y))$ and $f^{-1}(\tilde{B})(x) = \tilde{B}(f(x))$, where $\forall x, y \in Y$

$$\begin{split} f(\tilde{A})(y) &= \left[\bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} (\bar{M}_A)(x), \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} (\bar{N}_A)(x) \right] \\ &= \left[[f(M_A^-)(y), f(M_A^+)(y)], [f(N_A^-)(y), f(N_A^+)(y)] \right], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(\tilde{B})(x) &= \left[[f^{-1}(M_B^-)(x), f^{-1}(M_B^+)(x)], [f^{-1}(N_B^-)(x), f^{-1}(N_B^+)(x)] \right] \\ &= \left[[M_B^-(f(x)), M_B^+(f(x))], [N_B^-(f(x)), N_B^+(f(x))] \right], \end{split}$$

THEOREM 4.2: Let $f : G_1 \to G_2$ be a homomorphism from a ternary semigroup G_1 to a ternary semigroup G_2 . If $\tilde{A} = (\overline{M}_A, \overline{N}_A)$ is an an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G_2 , then the preimage $f^{-1}(\tilde{A}) = (f^{-1}(\overline{M}_A), f^{-1}(\overline{N}_A))$ of \tilde{A} under f is an interval-valued intuitionistic $(\overline{S}, \overline{T})$ -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G_1 .

PROOF: Let $\tilde{A} = (\bar{M}_A, \bar{N}_A)$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup of G_2 and let $x, y, z \in G_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(\bar{M}_A(xyz)) &= \bar{M}_A\left(f(xyz)\right) = \bar{M}_A\left(f(x)f(y)f(z)\right) \\ &\geq \bar{T}(\bar{M}_Af(x),\bar{M}_Af(y),\bar{M}_Af(z)) \\ &= \bar{T} \begin{pmatrix} f^{-1}(\bar{M}_A(x)), \\ f^{-1}(\bar{M}_A(y)), f^{-1}(\bar{M}_A(z)) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

and,

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(\overline{N}_A(xyz)) &= \overline{N}_A\left(f(xyz)\right) = \overline{N}_A\left(f(x)f(y)f(z)\right) \\ &\geq \overline{S}(\overline{N}_Af(x),\overline{N}_Af(y),\overline{N}_Af(z)) \\ &= \overline{S} \begin{pmatrix} f^{-1}(\overline{N}_A(x)), \\ f^{-1}(\overline{N}_A(y)), f^{-1}(\overline{N}_A(z)) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

This shows that $f^{-1}(\tilde{A}) = (f^{-1}(\bar{M}_A), f^{-1}(\bar{N}_A))$ is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy ternary subsemigroup G_1 .

THEOREM 4.3: Let $f: G_1 \to G_2$ be a homomorphism from a ternary semigroup G_1 to a ternary semigroup G_2 . If $\tilde{A} = (\bar{M}_A, \bar{N}_A)$ is an an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G_2 , then the preimage $f^{-1}(\tilde{A}) = (f^{-1}(\bar{M}_A), f^{-1}(\bar{N}_A))$ of \tilde{A} under f is an interval-valued intuitionistic (\bar{S}, \bar{T}) -fuzzy left (right, lateral) ideal of G_1 .

PROOF: The proof is straightforward.

5. Conclusion

We introduced the notion of the interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy ternary semigroup with respect to interval t-norm \overline{T} and interval t-conorm \overline{S} and we studied several properties. In addition, we provided

relationship between interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy ternary semigroups and $([\lambda_1, \lambda_2], [\theta_1, \theta_2])$ -level subsets.

6. Acknowledgement

The authors are highly grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which were helpful in improving this paper.

7. References

- 1. Lehmer D H (1932). A ternary analogue of Abelian groups, American Journal of Mathematics, vol 52(2), 329–338.
- Sioson F M (1965). Ideal theory in ternary semigroups, Mathematica Japonica, vol 10, 63–84.
- Dixit V N, and Dewan S (1995). A note on quasi and bi-ideals in ternary semigroups, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol 18(3), 501–508.
- 4. Iampan A (2007). Lateral ideals of ternary semigroups, Ukrainian Mathematical Bulletin, vol 4, 517–526.
- Zadeh L A (1965). Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, vol 8, 338–353.
- Kuroki N (1979). Fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Commentarii Mathematici Universitatis Sancti Pauli, vol 28, 17–21.
- 7. Kuroki N (1981). On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 5(2), 203–215.
- Mordeson J N, Malik D S et al.(2003). Fuzzy semigroups, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 307.
- Kar S, and Sarkar P (2012). Fuzzy ideals of ternary semigroups, Fuzzy Information and Engineering, vol 4(2), 181–193.
- 10. Yaqoob N, Aslam M et al. (2012). Rough fuzzy hyperideals in ternary semihypergroups, Advances in Fuzzy Systems, vol 2012.
- 11. Abdullah S, Davvaz B et al. (2011). (α, β) -intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in hemirings, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol 62(8), 3077–3090.
- Abdullah S, Aslam M et al. (2013). A new type of fuzzy normal subgroup and fuzzy coset, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, vol 25(1), 37–47.
- 13. Abdullah S (2013). On intuitionistic fuzzy Γ -ideals of Γ -LA-semigroups, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, vol 6(1), 17–31.
- 14. Ahmad A, Aslam M et al. (2013). Interval valued (α, β) -fuzzy hyperideals of semihyperring, UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, vol 75(2), 69–86.

- 15. Ahmed A, Aslam M et al. (2012). (α , β)-fuzzy hyperideals of semihyperring, World Applied Sciences Journal, vol 18(11), 1501–1511.
- 16. Aslam M, Abdullah S et al. (2012). Rough M-hypersystems and Fuzzy M-hypersystems in Γ -semihypergroups, Neural Computing and Applications, vol 21(1), 281–287.
- 17. Aslam M, Abdullah S et al. (2013). Characterization of regular LA-semigroups by interval-valued (α, β) -fuzzy ideals, Afrika Matematika, 1–18, doi: 10.1007/s13370-012-0130-6.
- Yaqoob N, Chinram R et al. (2013). Left almost semigroups characterized by their interval valued fuzzy ideals, Afrika Matematika, vol 24(2), 231–245.
- 19. Yaqoob N, Akram M et al. (2013). Intuitionistic fuzzy soft groups induced by (t,s)-norm, Indian Journal of Science and Technology, vol 6(4), 4282–4289.
- Akram M, and Yaqoob N (2013). Intuitionistic fuzzy soft ordered ternary semigroups, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 84(2), 93–107.
- Khan A, Khan F W et al. (2013). Ordered LA-semigroups in terms of interval valued fuzzy ideals, Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics, vol 5(1), 100–117.
- 22. Yousafzai F, Yaqoob N et al. (2012). A note on intuitionistic fuzzy Γ -LA-semigroups, World Applied Sciences Journal, vol 19(12), 1710–1720.
- 23. Yaqoob N, Aslam M et al. (2012). Structures of N- Γ -hyperideals in left almost Γ -semihypergroups, World Applied Sciences Journal, vol 17(12), 1611–1617.
- 24. Faisal, Yaqoob N et al. (2012). On fuzzy (2,2)-regular ordered Γ -AG**-groupoids, UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics, vol 74(2), 87–104.
- Atanassov K T (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 20, 87–96.
- Atanassov K T (1994). New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 61(2), 137–142.
- Atanassov K T, (1994). Operators over interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 64(2), 159–174.
- Atanassov K T, and Gargov G (1989). Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 31(3), 34–349.
- 29. Kim K, and Jun Y B (2002). Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of semigroups, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 33(4), 443–449.
- 30. Kim K H, and Lee J G (2005). On intuitionistic fuzzy biideals of semigroups, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, vol 29(2), 202–210.
- 31. Kim K H, and Lee J G (2008). Intuitionistic (T,S) normed fuzzy ideals of Γ -rings, International Mathematical Forum, vol 3(3), 115–123.

- Gujin W, and Xiapping L (1996). Interval-valued fuzzy subgroups induced by T-triangular norms, Busefal, vol 65, 80–84.
- Akram M, and Dar K H (2007). Fuzzy left h-ideal in hemirings with respect to a s-norm, International Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol 1(2), 7–14.
- 34. Zhan J (2005). On properties of fuzzy left h-ideals in hemirings with t-norms, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol 19, 3127–3144.
- Akram M (2007). Intuitionistic (S,T)-fuzzy Lie ideals of Lie algebras, Quasigroups and Related Systems, vol 15(2), 201–218.
- Aygunoglu A, Varol B P et al. (2012). Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups based on interval-valued double t-norm, Neural Computing and Applications, vol 21(1), 207–214.
- 37. Davvaz B, and Fotea V L (2009). Applications of interval valued fuzzy n-ary polygroups with respect to t-norms (t-conorms), Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol 57(8), 1413–1424.
- Davvaz B, Corsini P et al. (2009). Atanassov's intuitionistic (S,T)-fuzzy n-ary sub-hypergroups and their properties, Information Sciences, vol 179(5), 654–666.
- Davvaz B (2005). Characterizations of sub-semihypergroups by various triangular norms, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, vol 55(4), 923–932.
- 40. Dudek W A, Zhan J et al. (2008). Intuitionistic (S,T)fuzzy hyperquasigroups, Soft Computing, vol 12(12), 1229–1238.

- 41. Hedayati H (2009). Interval valued intuitionistic (S,T)fuzzy substructures in semirings, International Mathematical Forum, vol 4(6), 293–301.
- 42. Hedayati H (2012). Intuitionistic (S,T)-fuzzy (1,2)-ideals of semigroups with interval valued membership functions, International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, vol 14(1), 154–159.
- 43. Hedayati H (2011). Equivalence relations on the set of implicative interval-valued intuitionistic (T,S)-fuzzy filters of pseudo-BL algebras, Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing, vol 17(5–6), 443–458.
- 44. Lee J G, and Kim K H (2008). Interval valued intuitionistic (S^*, T^*) -fuzzy ideals of subtraction algebras, International Mathematical Forum, vol 4(46), 2281–2291.
- 45. Shum K P, and Akram M (2008). Intuitionistic (T,S)fuzzy ideals of near-rings, Journal of Algebra and Discrete Structures, vol 6(1), 37–52.
- Zhan J, and Dudek W A (2006). Interval valued intuitionistic (S,T)-fuzzy Hν-submodules, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, vol 22, 963–970.
- 47. Zhan J, and Davvaz B (2008). On properties of fuzzy Hv-submodulesofHv-moduleswitht-norms,SoutheastAsian Bulletin of Mathematics, vol 32(4), 805–822.
- 48. Xiapping L, and Gujin W (2000). The S_H interval-valued fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 112(2), 319–325.
- 49. Mondal T K, and Samantha S K (1999). Topology of interval-valued fuzzy sets, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 30(1), 23–38.
- 50. Mondal T K, and Samantha S K(2001) Topology of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol 119(3), 483–494.