

Fuzzy Dynamic Equations on Time Scales under Generalized Delta Derivative via Contractive-like Mapping Principles

Ch. Vasavi¹, G. Suresh Kumar^{1*} and M. S. N. Murty²

¹Department of Mathematics, K L University, Green-Fields, Vaddeswaram, Guntur - 522502, Andhra Pradesh, India; vasavi.klu@gmail.com, drgsk006@kluniversity.in

²Department of Mathematics, Sainivas, D. No. 21-47, Opposite State Bank of India, Bank Street, Nuzvid, Krishna - 521201, Andhra Pradesh, India; drmsn2002@gmail.com

Abstract

Background/Objectives: The first order nonlinear Fuzzy Dynamic Equations (FDEs) play an important role in recent years to model the dynamic systems with uncertainties and vagueness. The present work deals with obtaining the existence and uniqueness criteria for nonlinear FDEs on time scales which provides a foundation for the nonlinear studies in the field of FDEs. **Methods/Statistical Analysis:** In place of Banach contraction principle, contractive-like mapping principles on partial ordered sets is used as a tool to study the FDEs on time scales under generalized delta derivative. **Findings:** The nonlinear FDEs on time scales using generalized delta derivative are not studied so far. The generalized delta derivative is based on four forms which allow us to obtain new solutions for FDEs with decreasing length of their support. Moreover, the differentiability in third and fourth forms is linked with the concept of switching points. These results include both continuous and discrete FDEs under one framework. **Application/Improvements:** These results are useful to study qualitative and quantitative properties for nonlinear FDEs on time scales which arise in biological, economical and control engineering problems.

Keywords: Contractive-Like Mapping, Fuzzy Dynamic Equations, Generalized Delta Derivative, Time Scales

1. Introduction

Fuzzy differential equations (Fdes) are appropriate in the modeling of many real-world phenomena. Using the concept of H-derivative defined in¹, Kaleva² developed the theory of Fdes. For detailed study on Fdes and their applications we refer to³⁻¹². Dynamic equations on time scales^{13,14} is an emerging area which unifies effectively both differential and difference equations. An example of this type can be seen in seasonally breeding populations giving rise to new non-overlapping generations. In¹⁵, the author introduced Δ_g -derivative and Δ_g -integral, studied the fundamental properties of fuzzy set-valued mappings on time scales. In¹⁶, the author introduced Δ_{SH} -derivative and studied the FDEs on time scales.

Preliminary properties and definitions related to fuzzy set-valued mappings, fixed point theorems and calculus

on time scale are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, the properties of Δ_g -derivative are studied which are necessary for later discussion. In Section 4, with the help of fixed point theorems in^{17,18}, we establish existence and uniqueness criteria for $\Delta_{1,g}$ -solution and $\Delta_{2,g}$ -solution of FDEs on time scales.

2. Preliminaries

Denote $E^n = \{u : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$, u is fuzzy convex, upper semi continuous, normal and support $[u]^0$ is compact. For $v, w \in E^n$

$$D(v, w) = \sup_{0 \leq q \leq 1} d_H[v]^q, [w]^q,$$

Where d_H is the metric defined in 2. For $0 < q \leq 1$, the

level q - set $[u]^q = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n / u(y) \geq q\} \in P_k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ where $P_k(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is defined as in 2. For any $v, w \in E^n$ and $\gamma \in R$, $[v + w]^q = [v]^q + [w]^q$, $[\gamma \bullet v]^q = \gamma[v]^q$, $\forall q \in [0, 1]$

The partial ordering induced by the set inclusion in E^n . i.e.

$$v < w \Leftrightarrow [v]^q \subseteq [w]^q, \forall q \in [0, 1] \quad v, w \in E^n.$$

The converse of the partial order $<$ is denoted by $>$.

For any $u, v \in E^n$, \exists a $w \in E^n$ $\ni u = v + w$, w is the H-difference of u and v which is denoted by $u \ominus v$.

Definition 2.1.² A fuzzy-valued function $G: T \rightarrow E^n$ is called Hukuhara differentiable at a point $t_1 \in T$ if \exists a $G'(t_1) \in E^n$ \ni the limits exist in E^n

$$G'(t_1) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(t_1 + k) \ominus G(t_1)}{k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(t_1) \ominus G(t_1 - k)}{k}.$$

The element $G'(t_1)$ is the Hukuhara derivative of G at t_1 in the metric space (E^n, D) .

Definition 2.2.¹⁸ An altering distance function $\chi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined as

- (i) χ is continuous and $\chi(t_2) \geq \chi(t_1)$ for $t_2 \geq t_1$.
- (ii) $\chi(t_1) = 0 \iff t_1 = 0$.

Definition 2.3.¹⁸ Let Y be a space with metric d_1 and $g_1: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then g_1 is weakly contractive if for any altering distance functions χ and ϕ

$$\chi(d_1(g_1(x_1), g_1(x_2))) \leq \chi(d_1(x_1, x_2) - \phi(d_1(x_1, x_2))), \forall x_1, x_2 \in Y.$$

Lemma 2.1.¹⁸ If Y is a partial ordered set with partial ordering \leq and Y is complete metric space with metric d_1 . Let $g_1: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping, $g_1(x_2) \geq g_2(x_1) \quad \forall x_2 \geq x_1$ and satisfying

$$\chi(d_1(g_1(x_1), g_1(x_2))) \leq \chi(d_1(x_1, x_2) - \phi(d_1(x_1, x_2))), \quad \text{for } x_1 \geq x_2. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose X satisfies either

if a non-decreasing sequence $\{y_m\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent to $y \in Y$, then $\{y_m\} \leq y$, $\forall m \in \mathbf{N}$, or that g_1 is continuous. If $\exists y_1 \in Y \ni y_1 \leq g_1(y_1)$, then g_1 has a fixed point.

if a non-increasing sequence $\{y_m\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent

to $y \in Y$, then $y \leq \{y_m\}$, $\forall m \in \mathbf{N}$, or that g_1 is continuous. If there exists $y_1 \in Y$ such that $y_1 \geq g_1(y_1)$, then g_1 has a fixed point.

Lemma 2.2.¹⁸ Under the assumption of Lemma 2.1, if every pair of elements of X has an upper bound or a lower bound, then g_1 has a unique fixed point. Moreover, if \bar{x} is the fixed point of g_1 , then $\forall x \in X \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_1^k(x) = \bar{x}$.

For basic properties, fundamental results and notations on time scale we follow¹⁴.

3. Differentiability and integrability:

Now, we study the results on Δ_g -derivative for FSVF on time scales which are defined in¹⁵. The Δ_g -derivative given in Definition 13 in¹⁵ can be equivalently written as

Definition 3.1. A FSVF $G: T \rightarrow E^n$ is called Δ_g -differentiable at $t_1 \in T^k$ if $G_g^\Delta(t_1) \in E^n$ if for $0 < k < \delta$, such that

$$1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{k - \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(t_1 + k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{k + \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(\sigma(t_1)) \ominus G(t_1 - k)) = G_g^\Delta(t_1)$$

provided the H-differences $G(t_1 + k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))$, $G(\sigma(t_1)) \ominus G(t_1 - k)$ exists (or)

$$2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{-1}{k - \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(\sigma(t_1)) \ominus G(t_1 + k)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{-1}{k + \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(t_1 - k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))) = G_g^\Delta(t_1)$$

provided the H-differences $G(\sigma(t_1)) \ominus G(t_1 + k)$, $G(t_1 - k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))$ exists (or)

$$3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{k - \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(t_1 + k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{-1}{k + \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(t_1 - k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))) = G_g^\Delta(t_1)$$

provided the H-differences

$G(t_1 + k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))$, $G(t_1 - k) \ominus G(\sigma(t_1))$ exists (or)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{-1}{k - \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(\sigma(t_1)) \ominus G(t_1 + k)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{k + \mu(t_1)} \right) \bullet (G(\sigma(t_1)) \ominus G(t_1 - k)) = G_g^\Delta(t_1),$$

provided the H-differences

$$G(\sigma(t_1) \ominus G(t_1 + k) - G(\sigma(t_1) \ominus G(t_1 - k)) \text{ exists.}$$

The element $G^{\Delta_g}(t_1)$ is called the Δ_g -derivative of G at $t_1 \in T^k$. We say that G is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable, if G is differentiable in form (i) and $\Delta_{2,g}, \Delta_{3,g}, \Delta_{4,g}$ -differentiable, G is differentiable in (ii),(iii),(iv) forms respectively.

Remark 3.1. If $T = \mathbb{R}$, the $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiability coincides with the H-derivative defined in ¹. Moreover, the Δ_g -differentiability coincides with the derivative defined in ⁴. It is more general than the differentiability introduced in ³ which coincides with (i) and (ii), but it doesn't cover (iii) and (iv).

Example 3.1. Let $T = N_\theta^2 = \{n^2 : n \in N_0\}$. Let $G : N_\theta^2 \rightarrow E^1$ defined by $G(t_1) = \sqrt{t_1} \bullet u$, $u \in E^1$ is a triangular fuzzy number then G is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable at all $t_1 \in N_\theta^2$.

$$G_g^{\Delta}(t_1) = \frac{1}{\mu(t_1)} \bullet (G(\sigma(t_1) \ominus G(t_1))) = \frac{1}{1+2\sqrt{t_1}} \bullet \left(G(\sqrt{t_1} + 1)^2 \ominus G(t_1) \right) \bullet u = \frac{1}{1+2\sqrt{t_1}} \bullet u.$$

Example 3.2. Let $G : T \rightarrow E^1$ given by $G(t_1) = e_{-p}(t_1, 0) \bullet u$, where $u \in E^1$ is a triangular fuzzy number then G is $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable at all $t_1 \in T^k$.

$$G_g^{\Delta}(t_1) = \frac{1}{\mu(t_1)} \bullet (e_{-p}(\sigma(t_1), 0) \ominus e_{-p}(t_1, 0)) \bullet u = -p(t_1)e_{-p}(\sigma(t_1), 0) \bullet u.$$

Thus, if G is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable or $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable at $t_1 \in T^k$, then G is Δ_g -differentiable as in Definition 3.1. However, when G is Δ_g -differentiable at $t_1 \in T^k$ as in Definition 3.1, then G may or may not be $\Delta_{1,g}$ or $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable which can be verified from the following example.

Example 3.3. Let $G : T \rightarrow E^1$ given by $G(t) = c \bullet |t|$, where $c = (1, 2, 3) \in E^1$ is a triangular fuzzy number. Then G is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable for all $t \in T^k$ when $t > 0$ and $G^{\Delta_g}(t) = c = (1, 2, 3)$ and G is $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable for all $t \in T^k$ when $t < 0$ and $G^{\Delta_g}(t) = -c = (-3, -2, -1)$. For $t = 0$, neither (i) or (ii) of Definition 3.1. holds. At $t = 0$, the H-differences in (iii) of Definition 3.1. exists.

Let $[c, d]_T$ denotes $[c, d] \cap T$.

Definition 3.2. Let $G : [c, d]_T \rightarrow E^n$ and $t_0 \in [c, d]_T$. The point t_0 is said to be a switching point for the Δ_g -differentiable function G , if it satisfies any one of the two

conditions below, i.e. for any neighborhood of $t_0 \ni t_1 < t_0 < t_2 \in [c, d]_T \ni$

(I) G is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable on $(t_1, t_0]_T$ and G is $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable on $[\sigma(t_0), t_2)_T$, i.e. G is non-decreasing on $(t_1, t_0]_T$ and non-increasing on $[\sigma(t_0), t_2)_T$, or

(II) G is $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable on $(t_1, t_0]_T$ and G is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable on $[\sigma(t_0), t_2)_T$, i.e. G is non-increasing on $(t_1, t_0]_T$ and non-decreasing on $[\sigma(t_0), t_2)_T$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G : [c, d]_T \rightarrow E^n$ be a FSVF and $s_0 \in [c, d]_T$.

(i) If S_0 is a switching point of type (I) Δ_g -differentiable of G , then G is $\Delta_{4,g}$ -differentiable at S_0 .

(ii) If S_0 is a switching point of type (II) Δ_g -differentiable of G , then G is $\Delta_{3,g}$ -differentiable at S_0 .

Proof If S_0 is right-scattered and switching point for G of type I, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{k + \mu(s_0)} \right) \bullet (G(\sigma(s_0) \ominus G(s_0 - k))), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{-1}{k - \mu(s_0)} \right) \bullet (G(\sigma(s_0) \ominus G(s_0 + k))). \quad (3.11)$$

The limit in (3.10) exists if G is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable or $\Delta_{4,g}$ -differentiable and the limit in (3.11) exists if G is $\Delta_{2,g}$ - or $\Delta_{4,g}$ -differentiable. Hence G is $\Delta_{4,g}$ -differentiable. In a similar way, we can prove (ii).

Definition 3.3. ¹⁵ The Δ_g -integral of $G : T \rightarrow E^n$ on $J \subset T$, defined level wise by

$$\left[\int_J G(s) \Delta s \right]^q = \int_J G_q(s) \Delta s = \left\{ \int_J g(s) \Delta s : g \in S_{F_q}(J) \right\},$$

where $S_{F_q}(J)$ the set of all Δ_g -integrable sectors of G_q on J .

For properties on Δ_g -integral, we refer to ¹⁵.

4. FDEs on time scales

Now, we focus our attention on the following nonlinear FDE on time scale

$z^\Delta(t) = G(t, z(t)), \quad z(t_0) = z_0, \quad t \in [c, d]_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (4.1)$
 Δ denotes the Δ_g -derivative. Throughout $G: [c, d]_{\mathcal{T}} \times E^n \rightarrow E^n$ is a nonlinear function which is rd-continuous and $t_0 \in [c, d]_{\mathcal{T}}, u_0 \in E^n$. Eq. (4.1) is called FIVP on time scales.

Definition 4.1. Let $C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)])_{\mathcal{T}}, E^n$ be the rd-continuous fuzzy functions.

(i) A solution $z(t) \in C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)])_{\mathcal{T}}, E^n$ is called a Δ_g -differentiable solution for (4.1) if $z(t)$ is an anti-derivative of $G(t, z(t))$ satisfying (4.1).

A solution for (4.1) is called

(ii) (1)-solution if it is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable.

(iii) (2)-solution if it is $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable.

Consider the partial order on $C = C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)])_{\mathcal{T}}, E^n$ as

$g_1 \prec g_2 \Leftrightarrow g_1(t_1) \prec g_2(t_1) \quad g_1, g_2 \in C \text{ and } \forall t_1 \in [c, d]_{\mathcal{T}}.$

Clearly, $C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)])_{\mathcal{T}}, E^n$ is partial ordered set.

Lemma 4.1.¹⁸ The following results hold on $C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)])_{\mathcal{T}}, E^n$:

(i) If $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C$ is nondecreasing, with partial ordering \prec such that $f_m \rightarrow f$ in C , then $f_m \prec f \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) If $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C$ is nonincreasing, with partial ordering \prec such that $f_m \rightarrow f$ in C , then $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let G be rd-continuous.

(i) A fuzzy function $z \in C$ is called a $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable solution to (4.1) iff it satisfies the integral equation

$$z(t_1) = z_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} G(s, z(s)) \Delta s, \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (4.2)$$

(ii) A fuzzy function $z \in C$ is called a $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable solution to (4.1) iff it satisfies the integral equation

$$z_0 = z(t_1) + (-1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} G(s, z(s)) \Delta s, \quad \forall t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (4.3)$$

or

$$z(t_1) = z_0 \ominus \left((-1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} G(s, z(s)) \Delta s \right), \quad \forall t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (4.4)$$

Definition 4.2. A solution for (4.1) is said to be a lower solution if the fuzzy function $\eta \in C$ satisfies

$$\eta^\Delta(t_1) \prec G(t_1, \eta(t_1)), \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad \eta(0) \prec z_0.$$

If η is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable, then η is said to be a lower $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable and if η is $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable, then it

is lower $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable.

A solution for (4.1) is said to be an upper solution if the fuzzy function $\eta \in C$ satisfies

$$\eta^\Delta(t_1) \succ G(t_1, \eta(t_1)), \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad \eta(0) \succ z_0.$$

If η is $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable (respectively $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable), then η is said to be an upper $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable solution (respectively, an upper $\Delta_{2,g}$ -differentiable solution).

Theorem 4.1. (Local Existence and Uniqueness theorem)

Let $G: [c, d]_{\mathcal{T}} \times E^n \rightarrow E^n$ be rd-continuous. If there exists a lower $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable solution $\eta \in C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)])_{\mathcal{T}}, E^n$ for (4.1) and

(i) G is non-decreasing w.r.to second variable, i.e. for $v_1 \succ w_1$ then $G(t_1, v_1) \succ G(t_1, w_1)$

(ii) For comparable elements G is weakly contractive, i.e. for altering distance functions χ and ϕ ,

$$\chi(D(G(t_1, v_1), G(t_1, w_1))) \leq \chi(D(v_1, w_1)) - \phi(D(v_1, w_1)), \quad \forall v_1 \succ w_1. \quad (4.5)$$

Then a unique $\Delta_{1,g}$ -differentiable solution z exists for (4.1) on $[c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Proof Define the operator $A_1: C \rightarrow C$ by

$$[A_1 z](t_1) = z_0 + \int_0^{t_1} G(s, z(s)) \Delta s, \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}.$$

From Lemma 4.1 (i), $z \in C$ is the solution of (4.1), if $z \in C$ is the fixed point of A_1 .

Define D_ε , a metric on C by

$$D_\varepsilon(v_1, w_1) = \sup_{s \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}} \{D(v_1(s), w_1(s)) e_{-\varepsilon}(s, 0)\}, \quad v_1, w_1 \in C,$$

Where $\varepsilon > 0$ large enough such that $\frac{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(T, 0)}{\varepsilon} < 1$.

This metric is equivalent to metric D , because $D_\varepsilon(v_1, w_1) \leq D(v_1, w_1) \leq e_\varepsilon(T, 0) D_\varepsilon(v_1, w_1)$, $\forall v_1, w_1 \in C$. However, $C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)])_{\mathcal{T}}, E^n$, D_ε is a complete metric space.

From assumption (i) and from Lemma 2.1 we have

$$[A_1 v_1](t_1) = z_0 + \int_0^{t_1} G(s, v_1(s)) \Delta s \succ z_0 + \int_0^{t_1} G(s, w_1(s)) \Delta s = [A_1 w_1](t_1)$$

whenever $v_1 \succ w_1$ and $t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_{\mathcal{T}}$. Hence the

operator A_1 is non-decreasing. Now from (ii),

$$\chi(D(G(t_1, v_1) G(t_1, w_1))) \leq \chi(D(v_1, w_1)), \quad \forall v_1 \succ w_1. \quad (4.6)$$

In a contrary, Assume that

$$D(v_1, w_1) < D(G(t_1, v_1) G(t_1, w_1)), \quad \forall v_1 \succ w_1.$$

Since χ is non-decreasing we have $\chi(D(v_1, w_1)) \leq \chi(D(G(t_1, v_1) G(t_1, w_1)))$.

From (4.6), $\chi(D(v_1, w_1)) = \chi(D(G(t_1, v_1) G(t_1, w_1)))$, $\forall v_1 \succ w_1$.

From (ii), $\varphi(D(v_1, w_1)) = 0$ which implies $D(v_1, w_1) = 0$ and hence $\chi(D(G(t_1, v_1) G(t_1, w_1))) = 0$. From Definition 2.2., we get $D(G(t_1, v_1) G(t_1, w_1)) = 0$ which is a contradiction. For $v_1 \succ w_1$, consider

$$D_{\varepsilon}(A_1 v_1, A_1 w_1)(t) = \sup_{t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T} \{ D[A_1 v_1(t_1) A_1 w_1(t_1)] e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, 0) \}$$

$$= \sup_{t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T} \left\{ D \left(\int_0^{t_1} G(s, v_1(s)) \Delta s, \int_0^{t_1} G(s, w_1(s)) \Delta s \right) e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, 0) \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T} \left\{ \int_0^{t_1} D(G(s, v_1(s)), G(s, w_1(s))) \Delta s e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, 0) \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T} \left\{ D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1) \int_0^{t_1} e_{-\varepsilon}(s, 0) \Delta s e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, 0) \right\}$$

$$\leq D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1) \sup_{t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T} \left\{ \left(\frac{e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, 0) - 1}{\varepsilon} \right) e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, 0) \right\}$$

$$= D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1) \sup_{t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T} \left\{ \left(\frac{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(t_1, 0)}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\} = \left(\frac{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(T_1, 0)}{\varepsilon} \right) D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1)$$

Therefore

$$D_{\varepsilon}(A_1 v_1, A_1 w_1) \leq \left(\frac{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(T_1, 0)}{\varepsilon} \right) D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1) \quad \forall v_1, w_1 \in C_d[c, \sigma(d)]_T$$

Hence for altering distance function β ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(D_{\varepsilon}(A_1 v_1, A_1 w_1)) &\leq \beta \left(\left(\frac{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(T_1, 0)}{\varepsilon} \right) D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1) \right) \\ &= \beta(D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1)) - \beta \left(\left(\frac{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(T_1, 0)}{\varepsilon} \right) D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Then if

$$\varphi(t_1) = \beta(t_1) - \beta \left(\left(\frac{1 - e_{-\varepsilon}(T_1, 0)}{\varepsilon} \right) t_1 \right) \quad \text{for } v_1 \succ w_1 \text{ it follows}$$

that,

$$\beta(D_{\varepsilon}(A_1 v_1, A_1 w_1)) \leq \beta(D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1)) - \varphi(D_{\varepsilon}(v_1, w_1)).$$

By the existence of lower $\Delta_{1, g}$ -differentiable solution and Lemma 4.2 (i),

$$\eta(t_1) = \eta(0) + \int_0^{t_1} \eta^{\Delta}(s) \Delta s \prec z_0 + \int_0^{t_1} G(s, \eta(s)) \Delta s = [A_1 \eta](t_1) \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T.$$

Thus $\eta \prec A_1 \eta$. Hence A_1 satisfies all hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, and therefore A_1 has the unique fixed point which itself is the unique $\Delta_{1, g}$ -solution for (4.1).

Example 4.1. Consider the FIVP $z^{\Delta}(t) = G(t, z(t))$, where

$$G(t, z(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} \tan^{-1}(u_1(t)) \\ u_2(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad t_1 \in [0, 5]_T, \quad z(0) = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(0) \\ u_2(0) \end{bmatrix} \in E^2,$$

We claim this FIVP has unique solution for $T = R$. Clearly

$$D(G(t, v_1) G(t, w_1)) \leq \sup_{r \in R} \frac{1}{1+r^2} D(v_1, w_1)$$

Consider the altering distance function $\chi(t_1) = t_1$ and $\varphi(t_1) = \frac{r^2}{1+r^2} t_1$, for some $r \in R$. Then all the assumptions

in Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled and therefore the FIVP has unique solution.

Theorem 4.2. Let $G: [c, d]_T \times E^n \rightarrow E^n$ be rd-continuous.

If there exists a lower $\Delta_{2, g}$ -differentiable solution $\eta \in C_{rd}([c, \sigma(d)]_T, E^n)$, for (4.1). Let G be such that:

(i)

$$\text{diam}(u_0)^q \geq \text{diam} \left(\int_0^{t_1} G(s, z(s)) \Delta s \right)^q, \quad \forall q \in [0, 1]$$

(ii) G is non-decreasing in the second variable, i.e. if $v_1 \succ w_1$ then $G(t_1, v_1) \succ G(t_1, w_1)$

(iii) For comparable elements, G is weakly contractive i.e. for altering distance functions χ and φ , satisfying (4.5).

Then a unique $\Delta_{2, g}$ -differentiable solution z exists for (4.1) on $[c, \sigma(d)]_T$.

Proof Define the operator $A_2 : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$[A_2 z](t_1) = z_0 \ominus \left((-1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} G(s, z(s)) \Delta s \right) \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T.$$

From Lemma 4.2 (ii), z is a solution of (4.1). Also, the operator A_2 is non-decreasing for $u \succ v$. In a similar way to Theorem 4.1., A_2 fulfills all assumptions of Lemma 2.1. and hence from Lemma 2.2. A_2 has the unique $\Delta_{2, g}$ -solution for (4.1).

Theorem 4.3. Theorems 4.1, 4.2 are also valid if we replace the existence of lower $\Delta_{1, g}$ -differentiable solution ($\Delta_{2, g}$ -differentiable solution) to (4.1) by an upper

$\Delta_{1, g}$ -solution ($\Delta_{2, g}$ -solution).

Proof If η is an upper $\Delta_{2, g}$ -differentiable solution for (4.1), then

$$\eta(t_1) = \eta(0) + \int_0^{t_1} \eta^\Delta(s) \Delta s \succ z_0 + \int_0^{t_1} G(s, \eta(s)) \Delta s = [A_1 \eta](t_1) \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T.$$

Similarly, If η is an upper $\Delta_{2, g}$ -differentiable solution for (4.1), we have

$$\eta(t_1) = \eta(0) \ominus \left((-1) \int_0^{t_1} \eta^\Delta(s) \Delta s \right) \succ y_0 \ominus \left((-1) \int_0^{t_1} F(s, \eta(s)) \Delta s \right) = [A_2 \eta](t_1), \quad t_1 \in [c, \sigma(d)]_T.$$

Thus $\eta \succ A_1 \eta$ and $\eta \succ A_2 \eta$. Hence A_1 and A_2 satisfies all hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 and therefore A_1, A_2 has a unique solution in C .

5. References

1. Puri ML, Ralescu DA. Differentials of fuzzy functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 1983; 91(2):552–58.
2. Kaleva O. Fuzzy differential equations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 1987; 24(3):301–17.
3. Cano CY, Flores RH. On new solutions of fuzzy differential equations. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*. 2008 Oct; 38(1):112–19.
4. Li J, Zhao A, Yan J. The Cauchy problem of fuzzy differential equations under generalized differentiability. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 2012 Aug 1; 200:1–24.
5. Murthy MSN, Suresh Kumar G. Three point boundary value problems for third order fuzzy differential equations. *Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society*. 2006; 19(1):101–10.
6. Murthy MSN, Suresh Kumar G. Initial and boundary value problems for fuzzy differential equations. *Demonstration Mathematical*. 2007; 40(4):827–38.
7. Murthy MSN, Suresh Kumar G. On controllability and observability of fuzzy dynamical matrix Lyapunov systems. *Advances in Fuzzy Systems*. 2008; 2008:1–16.
8. Murthy MSN, Suresh Kumar G. On observability of fuzzy dynamical matrix Lyapunov systems. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*. 2008; 48(3):473–86.
9. Murthy MSN, Suresh Kumar G, Appa Rao BV, Prasad KASNV. On controllability of fuzzy dynamical matrix Lyapunov systems. *Analele Universitatii de vest Timisoara*. 2013; LI(2):73–86.
10. Rajkumar, Pathinathan T. Seiving out the poor using fuzzy decision making tools. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2015 Sep; 8(22). Doi: 10.17485/ijst/2015/v8i22/79161.
11. Azadeh ZJ, Adem K, Abdul Razak S. An adjustable method for data ranking based on fuzzy soft sets. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2015 Sep; 8(21). Doi: 10.17485/ijst/2015/v8i21/72587.
12. Villamizar-Roa EJ. Existence of solutions to fuzzy differential equations with generalized Hukuhara derivative via contractive-like mapping principles. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 2015; 265:24–38.
13. Agarwal RP, Bohner M, O'Regan D, Peterson A. Dynamic equations on time scales : A survey. *J Comput Appl Math*. 2002 Apr 1; 141(1-2):1–26.
14. Bohner M, Peterson A. *Dynamic equations on time scales: An introduction with Applications*, Berlin: Birkhauser Boston, 2001.
15. Vasavi Ch, Suresh Kumar G, Murty MSN. Generalized differentiability and integrability for fuzzy set-valued functions on time scales. *Soft Computing*. 2016; 20(3):1093–104.
16. Vasavi Ch, Suresh Kumar G, Murty MSN. Fuzzy dynamic equations on time scales under second type Hukuhara delta derivative. *International Journal of Chemical Sciences*. 2016; 14(1):49–66.
17. Harjani J, Sadarangani K. Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal*. 2010 Feb 1; 72(3-4):1188–97.
18. Nieto JJ, Rodriguez-Lopez R. Applications of contractive-like mapping principles to fuzzy equations. *Rev Mat Complut*. 2006; 19(2):361–83.