ISSN (Print): 0974-6846 ISSN (Online): 0974-5645

Effect of Combined Plyometric-Sprint and Combined Plyometric-Resistance Training Protocols on Speed, Explosive Power and Change of Direction

Hassan Almoslim

KFUPM, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia; almoslim@kfupm.edu.sa

Abstract

Background/Objective: The objective of the current investigation was to distinguish the impact of six weeks of combined plyometric-resistance and combined plyometric-sprint trainings on the fitness elements among male students. **Methods/Statistical Analysis:** 143 males aged 18 to 22 years were categorized into 3 groups, Plyometric-Sprint Training (PST, n = 48), Plyometric-Resistance Training (PRT, n = 47) and a Control Group (CG, n = 48). The experimental groups trained for 40 min per workout 2 days a week, for 6 weeks. Before and after training, tests were assessed on body composition, speed, power and agility. ANOVA and paired t-tests were used for analysis. **Findings:** The outcomes of all the components of this investigation showed that both the PST and the PRT revealed significantly greater reduction in the mean values than the CG (P<0.05), but no change was recorded between them in 30 m, 40 m and a 50 m run (P>0.05). However, in the Illinois agility test and standing long jump, the PST significantly exhibited greater declines in mean different values than the PRT (P<0.05). **Applications/Improvements:** PRT and PST protocols have effectiveness for acceleration, speed, power and agility. PST showed reduction in agility and an increment in explosive power than PRT, but had same speed.

Keywords: Agility, Plyometric, Power, Resistance, Speed

1. Introduction

Plyometric workout refers to those activities that permit a muscle fiber to create the greatest power at the least possible time. The sporting community acclaimed the benefits of plyometric training and incorporated in their protocols to enhance the performance in sports¹. These benefits are increases of strength^{2,3}, explosive power^{4,5}, running economy⁶, a decrease in agility times^{7,8} and ground contact times⁹. Further, several studies reported reductions in 30 m sprint times^{10,11}, in 40 m sprint times¹² and at 50 m sprint times¹³ after the plyometric training program.

Resistance protocol is a style of workout focussing on the usage of resistance to persuade muscular shortening, which forms the power, muscular endurance and size of voluntary muscles. Keeping this in mind, trainers, competitors and physical education personnel have realized that resistance training methods became one of the best significant factors causing performance and resulting to the accomplishment in the particular actions in the competition or even improving health statue of a person. In addition, resistance training when integrated with plyometric training became the best common technique to progress in the areas of explosive power, maximum strength and speed. Trainers always pool various approaches of activity like plyometric drills, weight exercises and speed to make their performers ready for races so that their achievement is enhanced in sport. It is observed that combined plyometric and resistance training greatly enhances fitness components like speed¹⁴, explosive power^{15–17}and change of direction¹⁸. It is seen that there was a controversy in the literature with regard to 30 m sprint time in which 19,20 observed no remarkable outcomes. The combined plyometric and resistance training also has meaningful benefits in body composition elements^{2,21}.

Galileo defined speed as the distance covered per

^{*} Author for correspondence

unit of time²². Speed exercise is the action of sprinting over a short distance at or nearby constant phase. It is utilized in several sports that include running, normally as a method of rapidly accomplishing an objective or avoiding or grasping a challenger. Speed obviously surges the body's ability to cope with fatigue, power, improving the ability of the heart and muscle strength exercise regimen simple to finish. It activates the production of high level of growth hormone; this will support you to be lean, strong and healthy. Besides, it boosts testosterone levels; both men and women need ideal testosterone level in their bodies which help to aid in mental and physical energy, adding lean muscle, reducing undesirable fat. In addition, it also improves insulin sensitivity and permits hours of continued fat burn even after the workout is finished. When this kind of exercise technique is joined with the plyometric training it will create a significantly greater drop in the acceleration time^{23,24} and change of direction time^{5,25}. It is observed from the literature that several investigations studied the effect of united plyometric and weight exercise technique, but, little research has examined the impact of joining plyometric and sprint exercise protocols. For our information, nota single research was founded in the literature comparing two united groups of Plyometric-Resistance Training and Plyometric-Sprint Training protocols. Therefore, the objective of this study was to distinguish the impact of 6 weeks of pooled plyometric and resistance regimens and pooled plyometric and sprint workout on selected fitness components between male students.

2. Method

2.1 Subjects

One hundred forty three male students aged 18 to 22 years from KFUPM undertaking the college course were chosen as participants for this investigation. Only the normal body weight participants were allowed to take part in the current research project. They were separated into 3 groups. Combined Plyometric-Sprint Training Group (CPSTG, n = 48), Combined Plyometric-Resistance Training Group (CPRTG, n = 47) and a Control Group (CG, n = 48). The Control Group was informed to keep their routine daily exercises and to keep away from additional severe sports performance throughout the study. The resistance training was to be performed in 80-95 % of 1RM. The willingness of the subjects was ascertained for their voluntary participation during the training program and they were informed to pull themselves out when they found out that they were not comfortable.

2.2 Body Composition

The body composition variables that measured before and after the training program to study the impact of combined plyometric-resistance regimens and combined Plyometric-Sprint Training protocols were age, body mass, height, body mass index, % body fat and fat free mass. Before and after training, the participants were weighed (SECA medical balance-Germany) to the closest 0.1 kg while wearing shorts and shirt. Height was assessed by a Stadiometer to the nearest cm. Body mass index was assessed by body mass (in kg) over the height (in m²). Percent body fat was gauged by using skin fold caliper (Harpenden) at four locations (biceps, triceps, subscapular and abdomen)26. The fat free mass was calculated by multiplying the body mass of % body fat, then subtracting the result from the body mass values.

2.3 Fitness Components

The fitness components measured before and after the training period were 30 m, 40 m, 50 m, Illinois agility test and standing long jump.

2.4 Training Program

The program comprised of three study groups called combined Plyometric-Resistance Training group and combined Plyometric-Sprint Training group and a control group. The experimental groups trained for 40 min per workout 2 days a week, for a total period of 6 weeks. The Control Group was not allowed to take part in the schedule, but was continuing its routine activity. All participantswere familiarized with the plyometric drills, the resistance exercises (CPRTG), the sprint distances (CPSTG) and test procedures before the start of the training period.

2.5 Plyometric-Sprint Training

The exercises employed in the plyometric-sprint mode were single leg hop, double leg hop, frog jump (plyometric), 20 m, 30 m and 40 m distances (sprint). On day 1 and 2 of each training week, the subject performed 10 frog jump followed by 20 m sprint for 3 sets, 10 single

leg hops (10 each leg) followed by 30 m sprint for 3 sets and 10 double leg hop followed by a 40 m sprint for 3 sets. Two minute rest period was allowed between sets and 1 min rest between each training unit. The subjects of the training groups performed plyometric drills with full height and distance and the speed distances at maximum or near maximum.

2.6 Plyometric-Resistance Training

The resistance training consisted of seated leg press and seated horizontal calf raises. 1 RM test, 80, 85, 90 and 95 % of 1RM were calculated for all subjects. In week number 1 and 2 of training, the participants of CPRTG exercised the resistance training drills at 80% of 1RM for 3 sets from 8 to 10 repetitions. The intensity of training increased by 5% in week 3, 4 and 5 and the number of repetitions decreased by 2 in each following week while, the number of sets was constant. During week 6, the intensity of exercise was decreased to 70% of 1RM for the aim of preparing the muscle for the post-tests measures which done in the week after. The recovery periods between sets that allowed for each subject were increased from 1 to 3 minutes in accordance with the increase of the intensity of training. The plyometric training drills mentioned above, were performed immediately after the completion of the resistance exercises.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed by the usage of SPSS version 16.0 software which utilized as the statistical tool for this study. Mean and standard deviations were assessed for all study components. Paired t-tests were employed to distinguish pre and post-testsfor speed, agility and explosive power separately. ANOVA was used to differentiate all experimental groups at pre-test and the mean difference (post-test minus pre-test) values for the dependent variables. When remarkable changes among groups existed, the posthoc test (scheffe) was used. If there was a meaningful change among groups on pre-test, the ANCOVA was taken place. The alpha was stable at 0.05.

3. Results

The result of body mass in Table 1 showed that there was no change between groups after training (P>0.05). With regard to the percent body fat, the CPSTG reported significantly greater decrease in mean values than the CG (P<0.05). In fat free mass, the CPSTG illustrated remarkably greater increase in mean different values than the CPRTG and the CG (P<0.05).

Table 1. Illustrates the physical characteristics measured at pre, post and post minus pre-tests (mean differences) for three groups

*		<u> </u>			
CPSTG	CPRTG	CG			
(n = 48)	(n = 47)	(n = 48)			
Variables	Tests	Mean SD	Mean SD	Mean SDP-Va	lues
Age (y)	Pre	19.77±0.77	19.72±0.54	19.52±0.54	0.124
Height (m)	Pre	1.70 ± 0.06	1.73±0.06	1.69 ± 0.05	0.009*
	Pre	61.88±9.17	65.98±7.38	62.26±7.30	0.025*
BM (kg)	Post	63.47±8.79	66.64±7.14	63.40±7.30	0.073
	Post-Pre	1.59 ± 2.05	0.66 ± 1.34	1.14±1.83	0.137
	Pre	21.17±2.35	21.92±1.68	21.65±1.80	0.171
BMI (kg/m²)	Post	21.89±2.26	21.99±1.76	22.06±1.80	0.912
	Post-Pre	0.71 ± 0.75	0.09 ± 0.69	0.36 ± 0.75	0.000*
	Pre	14.35±3.86	14.86±3.55	14.46±3.77	0.790
BF (%)	Post	14.14±3.82	15.04±3.57	15.19±3.90	0.350
	Post-Pre	-0.20±1.15	0.18 ± 1.11	0.72±1.09	0.000*
FFM (kg)	Pre	53.07±6.30	56.03±5.31	53.27±5.09	0.018*
	Post	54.52±6.23	56.48±5.16	53.59±5.07	0.036*
	Post-Pre	1.38±1.56	0.45 ± 1.24	0.32 ± 1.78	0.002*
D 1 C D	£ 1 £	1	15		1.00

Pre: before, Post: after, n: number of subjects; SD: Standard Deviation; m: meters, Post-Pre: mean differences, CPSTG:Combined Plyometric-Sprint Training Group, CPRTG:Combined Plyometric-Resistance Training group, CG: Control Group, y: years.*: remarkable

Table 1 indicated that at 30 m sprint time, the CPSTG and the CPRTG displayed significantly greater drop in mean values than the CG (P<0.05) but no change was exhibited between them (P>0.05) after training. Paired t-tests revealed that the mean value of the CPSTG reduced remarkably by 4.6% (4.80 \pm 0.30 to 4.59 \pm 0.27 sec, P<0.001) and the CPRTG decreased meaningfully by 4.5% (4.82 \pm 0.35 to 4.61 \pm 0.34 sec, PV0.001).

At the 40 m sprint times, ANOVA indicated that the CPSTG and the CPRTG revealed significantly greater reduction in mean different values than the CG (P<0.05) after training. But both the training groups reported no change (P>0.05). Paired t-tests illustrated that the CPSTG showed remarkable reduction of 4.4% (6.12 \pm 0.41 to 5.86 \pm 0.45 sec, P<0.001) and the CPRTG presented a significant decrease of 5% (6.05 \pm 0.44 to 5.76 \pm 0.41 sec, P<0.001) as exhibited in Table 2.

At the 50 m sprint time, ANOVA revealed that the CPSTG and the CPRTG exhibited significantly greater mean different values than the CG (P<0.05) but there

was no change among them (P>0.05). Paired t-tests indicated that the CPSTG decreased remarkably by 3.8% $(7.50 \pm 0.51 \text{ to } 7.22 \pm 0.52 \text{ sec, P} < 0.001)$. The CPRTG also dropped meaningfully by 4.6% $(7.29 \pm 0.42 \text{ to } 6.97 \pm 0.40 \text{ sec, P} < 0.001)$ as shown in Table 2.

It can be seen from Table 3 with regard to the Illinois agility test that the ANOVA for the CPSTG significantly recorded greater reduction in mean different values than the CPRTG and the CG (-0.76 \pm 0.52, -0.43 \pm 0.31, 0.01 \pm 0.07 sec, P<0.05). Paired t-test showed that the CPSTG had a remarkable reduction of 4.2% (18.19 \pm 1.01 to 17.42 \pm 1.01 sec, P<0.001). The CPRTG also reduced significantly by 2.5% (17.63 \pm 1.07 to 17.20 \pm 0.96 sec, P<0.001). With regard to the standing long jump, ANOVA illustrated that there were significant changes among the experimental groups and the CG (P<0.05). But the CPSTG showed a remarkably greater increment in mean different values than the CPRTG and the CG (14.04 \pm 9.59, 10.21 \pm 7.80, -1.69 \pm 2.34 cm, respectively). Paired t-test reported that the CPSTG had a meaningful rise of 7.4% (193.15 \pm

 Table 2.
 Displays speed times measured at pre, post and post minus pre for three

groups					
CPSTG	CPRTG	CG			
(n = 48)	(n = 47)	(n = 48)			
Variables	Tests	Mean SD	Mean SD	Mean SD	P-Values
	Pre	4.80 ± 0.30	4.82 ± 0.35	4.60 ± 0.23	0.000*
30 m	Post	4.59 ± 0.27	4.61 ± 0.34	4.62 ± 0.22	0.854
(sec)	Post-Pre	-0.21 ± 0.17	-0.21 ± -0.15	0.02 ± 0.08	0.000*
	Pre	6.12 ± 0.41	6.05 ± 0.44	5.97 ± 0.33	0.200
40 m	Post	5.86 ± 0.45	5.76 ± 0.41	5.99 ± 0.33	0.025*
(sec)	Post-Pre	-0.24 ± 0.27	-0.29 ± 0.29	0.01 ± 0.07	0.000*
	Pre	7.50 ± 0.51	7.29 ± 0.42	7.28 ± 0.42	0.035*
50 m	Post	7.22 ± 0.52	6.97 ± 0.40	7.29 ± 0.41	0.002*
(sec)	Post-Pre	-0.28 ± 0.22	-0.32 ± 0.20	0.01 ± 0.07	0.000*

^{*:} significant

Table 3. Represents Illinois agility times and standing long jump distances measured at pre, post and post minus pre for three groups

CPSTG CPRTG CG							
(n = 48)	(n = 47)	(n = 48)					
VariablesTests	Mean SD	Mean SD	Mean SDP-Values				
	Pre	18.19 ± 1.01	17.63 ± 1.07	17.25 ± 0.900.000*			
Illinois Agility	Post	17.42 ± 1.01	17.20 ± 0.96	$17.27 \pm 0.900.501$			
(sec)	Post-Pre	-0.76 ± 0.52	-0.43 ± 0.32	$0.02 \pm 0.070.000^{*}$			
	Pre	193.15 ± 27.26	192.57 ± 28.82	$201.40 \pm 23.440.197$			
Standing Long	Post	207.49 ± 25.62	202.79 ± 25.29	$99.71 \pm 23.390.309$			
Jump (cm)	Post-Pre	14.04 ± 9.59	10.21 ± 7.80	-1.69 ± 2.34 0.000*			

^{*:} significant

27.26 to 207.49 ± 25.62 cm, P<0.001). The CPRTG also increased significantly by 5.3% (192.57 \pm 28.82 to 202.79 \pm 25.29 cm, P<0.001).

4. Discussion

This study used a training protocol of six weeks duration with 2 training sessions per week. This is in conformity with previous studies undertaken by^{3,8,14,17,27-29} who investigated the influence of plyometric, sprint, weight and combined training in male subjects. The purpose of the present investigation was to distinguish the effect of combined Plyometric-Sprint Training and combined Plyometric-Resistance Training on fitness variables of college male subjects.

Our finding of % body fat indicated that the CPSTG had a meaningful decrease of 1.4%. This result agreed with the research of^{2,30} who indicated meaningful decreases by (5.4% and 16.4%, respectively). In contrast, our finding was opposed by two investigations of 31,32. The amount of reduction of body fat percent in our study is approximately smaller than both^{2,30} and this may be due to the fact that both studies used heavier subjects of 77 and 81 kg, respectively, versus 64 kg subjects in this study. It is a well-known fact that the heavier subjects tend to lose weight greater than the lighter ones specially as the training durations of both investigations were longer (30 and 36 training sessions) than the present study (12 training sessions). Their subjects were active physical education students and elite handball players in comparison with moderately active male students who exercise twice a week in the present study.

In FFM the CPSTG had meaningfully greater mean (2.5%) than the CPRTG. It seems that the subjects of CPSTG gained more muscle in respect with the CPRTG which may be due to the fact that sprinting may be aiding the growth of new muscle tissue throughout the rest of the body more than the strength training. This result corresponds to^{2,31} who recorded significant increases of 2.1% and 2.3%, respectively. These increments of FFM are similar to our study outcome. A controversy was shown as two of the investigations^{30,32} are against the findings of our study. 30Study reported a reduction by less than 1% in sprint training group while 32showed no change after training.

Our results of speed showed that both training groups had a meaningful training impact greater than the CG, but no changes were observed between them. Our outcomes agree with several research studies of4,11,12,24,31,33-35 who reported decreases between 1-5 %.

The result of³³ in 30 m is greater than our finding and this may be due to the use of elite hand ball players who trained harder (plyometric circuit exercises) and longer (90 min) than our subjects. The similar decrease in speed time between both training groups was affected by the condition that plyometric exercises increases the velocity of transforming outward contraction into inward and the resulted tension in the muscle raises as does the power created by the muscle, thereby reducing the time of speed. The other factor that may be interpreted for the identical result of both training groups in the present study was that the effectiveness of strength and sprint protocols may have similar training effect on the acceleration phase in the 30 m run speed. ³⁴Indicated that the highest increases in power takes place at or close by the speed of muscle contraction of the training. The extreme relocation of the plyometric to sprinting was probable to occur throughout the primary acceleration stage. This idea was reinforced by36 who advised that bounding may be deliberated as a precise drill for the acceleration of development because of the similar contact times of bounding and sprinting throughout the primary acceleration stage. The acceleration period is greatly reliant on reaction time and the competitor's capability to produce energy and strength throughout propulsion³⁷.

In a 40 m sprint, our outcome showed a greater training impact on speed time than 4,12,34. But, 31 reported a greater decline of (5.6%) than the present investigation. However, ^{38,39}recorded not training effect on speed time. ^{37,40}Confirmed that the hip and ankle extensors were the most contributors part of the body on the constant stage (40 m speed) which may gain power by sprinting and plyometric training. The elasticity of the plantor flexor muscles has high influence on the achievement of 40 m sprint distance³¹. The strength production of the hip flexor muscles is the most significant parts of the body, contributing in the maximum speed stage³². The possible mechanisms of the development in sprint performance can be understood via the neuromuscular deviations that comprise temporal sequencing of muscle activation, better enrolment of the quickest motor divisions, improved nerve transmission speed, rate or point of muscle connection and raised capacity to keep muscle enrolment and quick firing during the run⁴¹.

Previous studies stated that neuromuscular adaptations occur after the plyometric training resulting in gains in speed performance. ⁴Discussed that a number of factors such as muscle length, strength, age, gender, temperature, body shape, force and flexibility can have profound impacts on speed⁴². They stated that the strength of the knee extensors^{43,44} and the hip flexors⁴⁵ may be the most important factors during this phase.

In 50 m sprint, our results correspond with ³⁵. Significant hypertrophy can be induced by performing plyometric training alone as reported by ⁴⁶. Our subjects performed 12 training sessions by plyometric drills and used short sprint sessions. These training modes strengthened the hip and knee extensors and contributed in the training effects of improved performance among the subjects. It can also be due to the decrease in ground contact times of less than 200 milliseconds at an acceleration phase to less than 100 milliseconds at a constant pace⁴⁷.

In agility, the CPSTG had more training impactthan the CPRTG and the CG. The CPSTG and the CPRTG had a remarkable reduction of 4.4% and 2.5%, respectively. The agility is the capability of the body to change direction; and depends on acceleration and deceleration phases of speed⁴⁷. Two studies investigated the Illinois agility test^{3,9}. They revealed meaningful effect by 1.7 and 3 %, respectively. ³Compared plyometric and control group and illustrated a remarkable effect for plyometric group. 9Also compared plyometric and a Control Group and found a remarkable change among the plyometric and the Control Group; the training group decreased by 3%. Our result's reduction is greater than both^{3,9} studies. ⁹Used mixed gender subjects and small sample size in each group (n = 14) and ³used heavier highly trained male soccer players (75 kg) and small sample size (n = 12) per group. While male subjects and larger sample sizes were used in this study, which may explain the greater reduction in the agility. However, the findings of our study were in controversy of the investigations of 48-51. The improvement in agility can be attributed to suitable motor recruitment or neural adaptation9, muscle hypertrophy46 and Knee extensor strength^{37,43}. The improvement of coordination among the central nervous system signals and the proprioceptive feedback induce neural adaptation⁵². ⁴Indicated that maybe neuromuscular adaptations caused by plyometric exercises affect muscle spindles, Golgi tendon, tendons, joints, balance and body position controlling favorably and this led to the improvement of agility.

In standing long jump, the current study indicated that the CPSTG recorded a greater increment than the CPRTG and the CG while the CPRTG showed a greater increase than the CG. The CPSTG increased by 7.4% and the CPRTG by 5.3%. The finding of our study is similar to the investigation of ¹⁷but in contrast with ^{15,31,53,54}. The result of within group in this investigation is corresponding with the outcomes of 15,17,31,53,54 who reported remarkable increments between 2.8 to 14 %. The significant improvement in SLJ may be due to the fact that during the propulsive phase, the contributions of the hip, knee and ankle muscles were 46, 4 and 50%, respectively as indicated by31 who insured the greater contributions of the plantar flexor muscles. It also belongs to the coordination⁵⁵ and the neuromuscular adaptations that have induced by plyometric training which boosts power production as indicated by⁴².

5. Conclusion

It was found that six weeks of combined Plyometric-Resistance Training and combined Plyometric-Sprint Training modes have remarkable influence with regard to acceleration, maximum speed and deceleration, explosive power and change of direction when the post-tests were compared with the pre-tests. However, the training program had more effect for the CPSTG than the CPRTG in agility and standing long jump, but has similar consequences in speed constituents.

6. References

- Sivamani, Sultana D. Effect of sand training with and without plyometric exercise on selected physical fitness variables among Pondicherry University Athletes. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(7):24–7.
- Carvalho A, Mourao P, Abade E. Effects of strength training combined with specific plyometric exercises on body composition, vertical jump height and lower limb strength development in elite male handball players: A case study. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2014; 41:125–32.
- 3. Vaczi M, Tollar J, Meszler B, Juhasz I, Karsai I. Short-term high intensity plyometric training program improves strength, power and agility in male soccer players. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2013; 36:17–26.
- 4. Taheri E, Nikseresht A, Khoshnam E. The effect of 8 weeks of plyometric and resistance training on agility, speed and explosive power in soccer players. European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2014; 4(1):383–6.
- 5. Changalvaei M, Salehzadeh K, Najafzadeh MR. A study on

- the effects of 10-week selective compound exercises on anaerobic power of elite volleyball players. MAGNT Research Report. 2014; 2(6):582-9.
- Turner AM, Owings M, Schwane JA. Improvement in running economy after 6 weeks of plyometric training. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2003; 17:60-7.
- 7. Kumar R. The effect of 6 week plyometric training program on agility of collegiate soccer players. International Journal of Behavioral, Social and Movement Sciences. 2013;
- Thomas K, French D, Philip R. The effect of two plyometric training techniques on muscular power and agility in youth soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2009; 1:332-5.
- Miller MG, Herniman JJ, Ricard MD, Cheatham CC, Michael TJ. The effects of a 6-week plyometric training program on agility. Journal of Sports Science and Medicine. 2006; 5:459-65.
- 10. Tsimahidis K, Galazoulas C, Skoufas D, Papaiakovou G, Bassa E, Patikas D, Kotzamanidis C. The effect of sprinting after each set of heavy resistance training on the running speed and jumping performance of young basketball players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2010; 8:2102-8.
- 11. Ross RE, Ratamess NA, Hoffman JR, Faigenbaum AD, Kang J, Chilakos A. The effects of treadmill sprint training and resistance training on maximal running velocity and power. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2009; 2:385-94.
- 12. Ronnestad BR, Kvamme NH, Sunde A, Rastad T. Shortterm effects of strength and plyometric training on sprint and jump performance in professional soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2008; 22:773-
- 13. Negussie DE. Effect of health related physical fitness exercises and massage therapy in maximizing strength of calf and thigh muscles of the college students of Visakhapatnam city. [Thesis submitted for the award of the degree of doctor of philosophy in physical education]. Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India: Andhra University; 2012. p. 221-2.
- 14. Nageswaran AS. Short term effects of strength and plyometric training on sprint acceleration of college level soccer players. Physical Education. 2013; 2(3):1-2.
- 15. Panackal MB, Daniel T, Abraham G. Effects of different training methods on power output among school team players. International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical research. 2012; 5(2):56-63.
- 16. Dodd DJ, Alvar BA. Analysis of acute explosive training modalities to improve lower-body power in baseball players. J Strength Cond Res. 2007; 21:1177-82.
- 17. Faigenbaum AD, McFarland JE, Keiper FB, Tevlin W, Ratamess NA, Kang J, Hoffman JR. Effects of a short-term plyometric and resistance training program on fitness performance in boys age 12 to 15 years. Journal of Sports Science and Medicine. 2007; 6:519-25.

- 18. Vijayalakshmi V, Jayabal T. Effects of combination of own body resistance exercise and plyometric with and without yogic practices on selected physical and physiological variables among adolescent boys. IJALS. 2013; 6(3):246-51.
- 19. de Villarreal ES, Requena B, Izquierdo M, Gonzalez-Badillo JJ. Enhancing sprint and strength performance: Combined versus maximal power, traditional heavy-resistance and plyometric training. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport. 2013; 16:146-50.
- 20. Herrero AJ, Martin J, Martin T, Abadla O, Fernandez B, Garcia-Lopez D. The short-term effect of plyometrics and strength training with and without superimposed electrical stimulation on muscle strength and anaerobic performance: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2010; 24(6):1616-22.
- 21. Siegler J, Gaskill S, Ruby B. Changes evaluated in soccer specific power, endurance either with or without a 10-week in season, intermittent, high-intermittent training protocol. J Strength Cond Res. 2003; 17:379-87.
- 22. Hewitt PG. Speed Training. 2006. p. 42.
- 23. Cherif M, Said M, Chaatani S, Nejlaoui O, Gomri D, Abdallah A. The effect of combined high-intensity plyometric and speed training program on the running and jumping ability of male handball players. Asian Journal of Sports Medicine. 2012; 3(1):21-8.
- 24. Marques MC, Pereira A, Reis IG, Tillaar RVD. Does an in-season 6-week combined sprint and jump training program improve strength-speed abilities and kicking performance in young soccer players? Journal of Human Kinetics. 2013; 39:157-66.
- 25. Davaran M, Elmieh A, Arazi H. The effect of a combined Plyometric-Sprint Training program on strength, speed, power and agility of karate-ka male athletes. Research Journal of Sport Sciences. 2014; 2(2):38-44.
- 26. Siri WE. Body composition from fluid spaces and density: Analysis of methods. A technique for measuring body composition. J. Brozek and A. Henschel. editors. Washington, DC: National Academy of Science; 1961.
- 27. MacDonald C, Lamont HS, Garner JC. A comparison of the effects of 6 weeks of traditional resistance training, plyometric training and complex training on measures of strength and anthropometric. J Strength Cond Res. 2012; 26(2):422-31.
- 28. Baljinder SB, Sukhbir S, Sucha SD, Manjit S. Effects of 6-week plyometric training on biochemical and physical fitness parameters of Indian jumpers. Journal of Physical Education and Sports Management. 2012; 3(3):35-40.
- 29. Harrison AJ, Bourke G. The effect of resisted sprint training on speed and strength performance in male rugby players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2009; 1:275-83.
- 30. Markovic G, Jukic I, Milanovic D, Metikos D. Effects of sprint and plyometric training on morphological characteristics in physically active men. Kinesiology. 2005; 37(1):32-9.

- 31. Ratamess NA, Kraemer WJ, Volek JS, French DN, Rubin MR, Gomez AL, Newton RU, Maresh CM. The effects of ten weeks of resistance and combined Plyometric-Sprint Training with the meridian elite athletic shoe on muscular performance in women. Journal of Strength and Conditioning research. 2007; 21:882-6.
- 32. Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA, Volek J, Mazzetti SA, Gomez AL. The effect on the meridian shoe on vertical jump and sprint performances following short-term combined plyometric/sprint and resistance training. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2000; 14(2).
- 33. Alam S, Alizadeh PH, Mehdipour A. The effect of plyometric circuit exercises on the physical preparation indices of elite handball player. Physical Education and Sport. 2012; 10(2):89-98.
- 34. Rimmer E, Sleivert G. Effects of a plyometric intervention program on sprint performance. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2000; 14:295–301.
- 35. Wagner DR, Kocak MS. A multivariate approach to assessing anaerobic power following a plyometric training program. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 1997; 11:251-5.
- 36. Young W. Plyometrics: Sprint bounding and the sprint bound index. Natl Strength Cond Assoc J. 1992; 14:18-22.
- 37. Mero A, Komi PV, Gregor RJ. Biomechanics of sprint running: A review. Sports Med. 1992; 13:376-92.
- 38. Reyment CM, Bones ME, Lundquist JC, Dallak LC, Janot JM. Effects of four weeks plyometric training program for measurement of power in male college hockey players. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 2007; 39:210.
- 39. Lyttle AD, Wilson GJ, Ostrowski KJ. Enhancing performance: Maximal power versus combined weights and plyometric training. J. Strength Cond Res. 1996; 10:173-9.
- 40. Wiemann K, Tidow G. Relative activity of hip and knee extensors in sprinting- implications for training. New Studies in Athletics. 1995; 10:29-49.
- 41. Chelly MS, Ghenem MA, Abid K, Hermassi S, Tabka Z, Shephard RJ. Effects of in-season short-term plyometric training program on leg power, jump-and sprint performance of soccer players. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2010; 10:2670-6.
- 42. Mokhtari P, Rostami R. J Motion. 2003; 24:57.
- 43. Delecluse CH, Van Coppenolle E, Willems M, Van Leemputte M, Diels R, Goris M. Influence of high resistance and high velocity training on sprint performance. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 1995; 27:1203-9.

- 44. Dawson MN, Nevill ME, Lakomy HKA, Nevill AM, Hazeldine RJ. Modelling the relationship between isokinetic muscle strength and sprint running performance. J Sports Sci. 1998; 16:257-65.
- 45. Mann RA, Moran GT, Dougherty SE. Comparative electromyography of the lower extremity in jogging, running and sprinting. Am J Sports Med. 1986; 14:501-10.
- 46. Malisoux L, Francaux M, Nielens H, Theisen D. Stretch-shortening cycle exercises: An effective training paradigm to enhance power output of human single muscle fibers. J Appl Physiol. 2006; 100:771-9.
- 47. Plisk SS. Speed, agility and speed-endurance development. Essentials of Strength Training and Conditioning. T. R. Baechle and R. W. Earle, editors. Champain: Human Kinetics Books; 2000. p. 471-92.
- 48. Lehnert M, Hulka K, Maly T, Fohler J, Zahalka F. The effects of a 6 week plyometric training program on explosive strength and agility in professional basketball players. Acta Univ Palacki Olomuc Gymn. 2013; 43(4):7-15.
- 49. Alemdaroglu U. The relationship between muscle strength, anaerobic performance, agility, sprint ability and vertical jump performance in professional basketball players. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2012; 31:149-58.
- 50. Tartibian B, Mardani A, Ravasi AA, Tolouei AJ. The impact of a short term creatine supplement consumption on speed performance and muscular strength of young soccer players. Iranian J Health Physic Act. 2012; 3(7):1-7.
- 51. Zghal F, Chortane G, Gueldich H, Mrabet I, Messoud S, Tabka Z, Cheour F. Effects of in-season combined training on running, jumping, agility and rate of force development in pubertal soccer players. IOSR-JPBS. 2014; 9(4):21-9.
- 52. Craig BW. What is the scientific basis of speed and agility? Strength and Conditioning. 2004; 26:13-4.
- 53. Sadeghi H, Nabavi NH, Darchini MA, Mohammadi R. The effect of six-week plyometric and core stability exercises on performance of male athlete. Advances in Environmental Biology. 2013; 7(6):1195-201.
- 54. Markovic G, Jukic I, Milanovic D, Metikos D. Effects of sprint and plyometric training on muscle function and athletic performance. National Strength and Conditioning Association. 2007; 21(2):543-9.
- 55. de Villarreal ES, Kellis E, Kraemer WJ, Izquierdo M. Determining variables of plyometric training for improving vertical jump height performance: A meta-analysis. J Strength Cond Res. 2009; 23(2):495-506.