Plagiothecium mussuriense Broth. mss. in Herb. CAL).

Resembles $P$. curvifolium Schlieph. of Europe and North America but differs in the plants being more slender, leaves not curved downwards, and less strongly decurrent.
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## GENUS MELANOCENCHRIS NEES-A CRITICAL REVIEW

The genus Melanocenchris Nees (Proc. Linn. Soc. 1: 94. 1841) of the tribe Chlorideae comprises about five species of which three [Melanocenchris abyssinica (R. Br.) Hochst., M. jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach and M. monoica (Rottl.) C. E. C. Fisch. $]$ are represented in India. Since they show apparent resemblance in their vegetative and floral characters, these taxa could not be readily distinguished based on their habit and size of the spikelets.

The peculiar nature of this taxon is the clustering of sterile and fertile spikelets into clusters of spikelets on the rachis and this character readily distinguishes this genus from all other genera coming under the tribe Chlorideae of the subfamily Pooideae. Each of these inflorescence units comprises 3.5 spikelets, 1 or 2 with fully fertile florets and the others rudimentary and variously transformed. Typically a fertile spikelet of the genus comprises two involucral glumes almost equal in size, pubescent along the margins and awned and two florets, the lower one of which is fertile and the upper male or sterile and rudimentary.

The characters given by Bor (Grass. Bur. Ceyl. Ind. \& Pak. 473. 1960), Hooker f. (F. B. I. 7: 284. 1897), Batter and McCann
(Bombay Grasses, 248. 1935) and Fischèr (Flora of Madras 3: 1267.1928 ) to separate the three species of this genus are not helpful as the above mentioned authors gave more importance to the habit and vegetative characters of the plants which are variable. And probably due to this reason Hooker f. (l.c.) considers Melanocenchris abyssinica (R. Br.) Hochst. as only a variety of Gracilea royleana Hook. f. (=M. jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach) i.e. Gracilea royleana Hook. f. var. plumosa Hook. f. Bor (l.c.) while giving the key to the characters of the three species distinguished $M$. monoica (Rottl.) C. E. C. Fisch. as perennial and $M$. jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach and M. abyssinica (R. Br.) Hochst. as annuals; the latter two differing only in the size of the cluster of spikelets ( 8 mm and no mm respectively). Hooker f. (l.c.) also based his new variety i.e. Gracilea royleana Hook. f. var. plumosa Hook. f. only on the larger size of the cluster of spikelets. Examination of the authenticated specimen quoted by Bor (l.c.) namely Mokin ${ }_{1} 368$ and other authenticated sheets at CAL showed the following characters for their vegetative and floral parts which are of taxonomic importance. They are summarised below with illustrations.

| M. jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach | M. abyssinica (R. Br.) Hochst. | M. monoica (Rottl.) C.E.C. Fisch. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Annual or perennial herbs. | Annual herbs. | Perennial herbs. |

Leaves not aggregated at the base, $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, narrow, only $2-2.5$ mm broad.

Ligule a rim of short hairs; blade and sheath both with scattered long hairs (Fig. I-A).

Clusters of spikelets $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long

Fertile lemma trinerved and trifid, teeth scabrid slightly (Fig. I-D).

Anthers 3, each 1 mm long, very thin (Fig. I-F).

Annual herbs.
Leaves not aggregated at the base, 3-4 cm long, narrow, 2-4 mm broad.

Ligule a rim of long hairs ; blade and sheath with scattered long hairs (Fig. II-A).

Perennial herbs.
Leaves strictly aggregated at the base of the culms, lanceolate, $3.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ long and 5 mm broad.

Ligule a rim of short hairs; blade and sheath with hairs. The hairs on the blade almost marginal and equidistant (Fig. III-A).

CHusters of spikelets always $10-11 \mathrm{~mm}$
long $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clusters of spikelets } 7-8 \mathrm{~mm} \text { long } \\ & \text { only. }\end{aligned}$
Fertile lemma not trifid, but acute and one nerved, upper portion scabrid (Fig. III-D).
Anthers 3, each 2.5 mm long, and thick with large pollen grains (Fig. III-F).

Thus, M. monoica (Rottli.) C. E. C. Fisch. can be easily separated from M. abyssinica (R. Br.) Hochst. and M. jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach by the acute nature of the fertile lemma, larger and thicker nature of the anthers, perennial habit and broader lanceo-
late leaves strictly confined to the base of the culms. The larger size of the cluster of spikelets, floral parts and comparatively longer ligular hairs of M. abyssinica (R. Br.) Hochst. can be easily distinguished from those of M. jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach.

1. Fertile lemma of the floret trifid; anthers 1 mm long and thin ; leaves narrow, nor magegated at the base:
2. Clusters of spikelets $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long ; ligule of short hairs, $\pm 1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ long .. M. jacquemontii
3. Clusters of spikelets $10-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, ligule of long hairs, $\pm 1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ long .. M. abyssinica
4. Fertile lemma of the floret not divided, acute ; anthers 2.5 mm long, thick; leaves lanceolate and aggregated at the base of the culms
M. monoica
5. M. jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach, Ill. Pl. Or. 4: 36. 1851. Gracilea royleana Hook. f. Fl. Brit. Ind. 7: 284. ェ8gó. Melanocenchris royleana Nees in Proc. Linn. Soc. 1: 95. 1841, nomen.
Specimens examined: Mysore: Shimoja, Oct. 1908, A. Meebold ic, ${ }^{61}$ (CAL) ; Be!gaum, without collector's name, 836 (CAL) ; Dharwar, 3 Sept. i8go, W. A. Talbot 2308 (CAL). Madhya Pradesh: Gwalior, Aug. 1889, Mane 108 (CAL); Khandwa, 23 Sept. 1908. I. H. Burkill 3iool (CAL). Gujarat: Sasangir, 22 Aug. rg60, S. R. Rolla 63863 (BSI) ; Palitana, 18 Aug. 1960, S. R. Rolla 63634 (BSI) ; Dwarka, is Aug. :950, J. C. Dhuna D. If (CAL). Rajasthan: Pali Dist. Erinpura, near Jawai Dam, I Oct. 1960, S. R, Rolla 66744 (BSI); Gulab Bag, 5 Jan.

1966, R. B. Majumdar 10362 (CC) ; Bhawanimandi, 26 Sept. 1964, B. M. Wadhwa 7653 (CC) ; Kotah, 4 Sept. i956, P. C. Nanda $43^{2}$ (CAL) ; Jodhpur, Mar. 1868, without collector's name, s. n. (CAL) ; Bihar: Gaya, Oct. 1894, Mokim 1358 (CAL); Wall. Sheet No. 8905 D (CAL).
2. M. abyssinica ( R. Br.) Hochst. in Flora 38: 274. 1855 in obs. Eutriana abyssinica R. Br. ex Fresen. in Mus. Sencken. 2 : 142. 1837. Pennisetum plumosum Hochst. ex Steud. Syn. Pl. Glum. 1: 201. 1854. Ptiloneilema plumosum Steud. loc. cit. 201. $18_{54}$. Gracilea royleaná Hook. f. var. plumosa Hook. f. Fl. Brit. Ind. 7: 284. 1896.

Specimens. examined: Gujarat; Saurash-

FiG. 1


Fig. I. Melanocenchris jacquemontii Jaub. et Spach. A. Ligular portion of the leaf. B. Lower involucral glume. C. Upper involucral glume. D. Fertile lemma. E. Palea. F. Anther.

Fig. .II. M. abyssinica (R. Br.) Hochst. A. Ligular portion of the leaf. B. Lower involucral glume. C. Upper involucral glume. D. Fertile lemma. E. Palea. F. Anther. Fig. III. M. monoica (Rottl.) C.E.C. Fisch. A. Ligular pestion of the leaf. B. Lower involucral glume. C. Upper involucral glume. D. Fertile lemma. E. Palea. F. Anther.
tra, Hirashal vidi ${ }_{5}$ Sept. 1955, P. C. Nanda 8 (CAL).
3. M. monoica (Rottl.) C. E. C. Fisch. in Gamble, Fl. Madras; 1831. 1934. Pomerulla monoica Rottl. in Ges. Natur. Freuude Berlin Neue Schr. 4: 218. 1803. Graciled
nutans Koenig ex Rottl. loc. cit. 218 (1803): Melanocenchris perrottetii Jaub. et Spach, Ill. Or. 4: 38. 1851. Melanocenchris rothiana Nees in Proc. Linn. Soc. 1, 95, ( 184 t ).
Specimens examined: Madras: 10 Oct.

1899, Bowha 3147 (CAL); Mar. 1866, Wight s. n. (CAL) ; Kambakaham R. F., 24 Oct. 1956, B. D. Patil 576 (CAL) ; Camalapuram Feb. 1883 , J. S. Gamble 11200 (CAL); Wall. Sheet No. 8905 A .
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## TAXONOMIC STUDIES ON MYRSINACEAE OF INDIA-I. A NEW SPECIES AND REVIEW OF GENUS SADIRIA MEZ

Mez (rgo2) reduced DeCandolle's genus Pimelandra to a sub-genus of Ardisia and the following species, Pimelandra griffithii Clarke, P. eugenifolia (Wall.) Hook. f. and $P$. erecta Clarke which could not be assigned to the genus Ardisia were segregated to form a new genus Sadiria Mez.

The genus Sadiria is related to Antistrophe DC. but differs in having petals united above the middle while in the latter the petals are united only at the base. So
far five Indian species have been reported under the genus Sadiria mainly from Eastern Himalaya and Khasi Hills, all restricted within Eastern India and IndoBurmese border [ $S$. solanifolia $\mathrm{Mez}, S$. eugenifolia (Wall.) Mez; S. griffithïi (Clarke) Mez, S. erecta (Clarke) Mez, S. boweri Dunn.]. A new species $S$. subsessilifolia Nayar et Giri and a variety S. eugenifolia (Wall.) Mez var. burmanica Nayar et Giri are described for the first time.

KEY TO THE SPEGIES


Sadiria solanifolia Mez in Engl. Pflanzenreich 9 (iv. 236): 182. 1902:
Type: Bhutan Booth s.n. (K). Not seen.
S. subsessilifolia Nayar et Giri sp. nov. Affinis S. griffithii (Clarke) Mez, sed foliis subsessilibus, margine foliorum valde sinuatis, pedunculis robustis differt.
Frutex lignosus, teretis, glaber. Folia subsessilia, magna, oblanceolata, $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$
longa, $4-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ lata, basi valde cuneata, apice abrupte acuminata vel acuta, margine sinuta, pellucido-punctata, supra glabra, infra ad nervos puberula, membranacea, nervis principalibus prominentis, nervis Iàtaralibus $10-18$ paribus, distinctis. Inflorescenteae axillares, subfasiculatae vel condense subpaniculatae, $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ longae, dense puberulae. Flores parvi, 5 -meri, puberuli;

